



Moving to Work 2011 Annual Plan

DRAFT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT
AUGUST 31, 2010

Please provide comments by September 30, 2010

Use any of the following methods to comment –

Public hearing: Monday, September 20, 2010 3:30 p.m.
Center Park Community Room, 2121 26th Avenue S, Seattle.
Call with accommodation or interpretation requests by September 23.

E-mail: alazaga@seattlehousing.org

Phone: (206) 615-3546

Fax: (206) 615-3539

Mail: Andria Lazaga, Seattle Housing Authority
P.O. Box 19028, Seattle, WA 98109

Seattle Housing Authority

Board of Commissioners

John Littel, Chair
Nora Gibson, Vice Chair
Yusuf Cabdi
Juan Martinez
Kollin Min
Doug Morrison
Heyward Watson

Cabinet

Tom Tierney, Executive Director
Al Levine, Deputy Executive Director
Andrew Lofton, Deputy Executive Director
Dean Barnes
Rod Brandon
Virginia Felton
James Fearn
Ann-Marie Lindboe
Stephanie Van Dyke
Lisa Wolters
Shelly Yapp

Prepared by

Andria Lazaga, Asset Management Coordinator

With contributions from: Lindsey Bernacchi, Willard Brown, Gashaw Dessie, Anne Fiske-Zuniga, John Forsyth, Kristin Hollywood, Shirley Kolm, Wendy Lance, Ann-Marie Lindboe, Tracey Locke, George Nemeth, Carmine Pascucci, Cheryl Sabin, Vickie Seeber, Jodell Speer, Cindy Sribhibhadh, Brian Sullivan, Maria Ursua, Rebecca Whitney, Scott Woo, Bob Wyda, Shelly Yapp.

Contents

I. Introduction and Executive Summary	1
II. General Housing Authority Operating Information	5
III. Non-MTW Related Housing Authority Information	12
IV. Long-term MTW Plan	28
V. Proposed MTW Activities: HUD approval requested	30
VI. Ongoing MTW Activities: HUD approval previously granted	33
VII. Sources and Uses of Funding	54
VIII. Administrative Information	62
Appendix A – Draft Strategic Plan	
Appendix B – New public housing units	
Appendix C – New project-based voucher units	
Appendix D – Seattle 2010 Area Median Income	

I. Introduction and Executive Summary

This section provides an overview of the purpose and layout of this Plan and highlights major goals and objectives for the year.

What is “Moving to Work”?

The Seattle Housing Authority (SHA or Seattle Housing) is one of about 35 housing authorities across the country participating in the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Moving to Work (MTW) program, which allows SHA to test innovative methods to improve housing services and to better meet local needs.¹ As a participant in MTW, SHA may propose and implement alternatives to federal regulations for certain issues spelled out in an agreement between HUD and SHA. Congress provided three statutory objectives for MTW:

- Reduce costs and achieve greater cost effectiveness in Federal expenditures;
- Give incentives to families with children where the head of household is working, is seeking work, or is preparing for work by participating in job training, educational programs, or programs that assist people to obtain employment and become economically self-sufficient; and
- Increase housing choices for low-income families.

Fiscal year 2011 will be SHA’s thirteenth year in MTW. Each year SHA adopts a plan that describes activities planned for the following fiscal year. At the end of the year, SHA prepares a report describing its accomplishments.

¹ SHA refers to the program as “Moving To new Ways,” to keep the acronym and more accurately describe the intent of the program. For official purposes, such as this plan, the original name is used.

Stakeholder involvement

As part of developing the MTW Plan and annual budget, SHA provides opportunities for public review and comment. The public comment period is open through September 30, 2010. Residents are notified of the public hearing and the availability of draft documents through *The Voice* (a monthly newspaper for SHA residents), a notice on rent statements, flyers in SHA buildings, and a letter to about 115 resident leaders. The public is informed via SHA’s free monthly e-mail newsletter, *Building Community*, which reaches about 1,300 subscribers, and through posting on www.seattlehousing.org and an ad in the Seattle/King County newspaper of record, the *Daily Journal of Commerce*. Any amendments or addenda to this Plan during the public comment period will be posted on SHA’s website (www.seattlehousing.org).

Public hearing: A public hearing will be held on Monday, September 20, 2010 at 3:30 p.m. The draft plan and annual budget will be presented and testimony taken, followed by a general question and answer period.

Resident leaders: The Joint Policy Advisory Committee (JPAC), made up of resident who advise SHA on issues of concern to residents, will discuss major plan activities and budget issues during the public comment period at their SSHP and public housing committee meetings in September 2010.

Additional public comment: SHA also accepts comments in writing or by phone during the comment period. Methods for comment are provided on the cover page of this document.

What is in this plan?

The Annual Plan follows a HUD-required format outlined in the 2008 Amended and Restated MTW Agreement between HUD and SHA.

Section I: Introduction provides an overview of the layout of this document and highlights of the agency's plan for the year.

Section II: General Housing Authority Operating Information provides an overview of SHA's housing portfolio, leasing rates, and waiting list information.

Section III: Non-MTW Related Housing Authority Information provides an overview of planned activities in support of Seattle Housing Authority's 2011-2015 Strategic Plan.

Section IV: Long-Term MTW Plan describes the long-term vision for the direction of SHA's MTW program.

Section V: Proposed MTW Activities provides HUD-required information detailing proposed uses of MTW authority, including evaluation criteria and specific waivers to be used.

Section VI: Ongoing MTW Activities provides HUD-required information detailing previously HUD-approved uses of MTW authority, including evaluation criteria and specific waivers needed.

Section VII: Sources and Uses of Funding describes SHA's projected revenues and expenditures for 2011, local asset management program, and use of MTW block grant fungibility.

Section VIII: Administrative Information provides HUD-required administrative information.

Goals and objectives for 2011

SHA identified several goals and objectives for 2011 within the context of the agency's mission and draft five year strategic plan, fiscal realities, and MTW's three objectives. The following highlights of key activities for 2011 are described further in the draft 2011 MTW Annual Plan.

MTW goals and objectives

Streamlining the housing choice voucher program

In 2011 SHA will be in the first year of implementing a number of MTW activities designed to reduce the administrative burden of operating the Housing Choice Voucher program. These include

- reducing the frequency of HCV inspections for tenants who have not moved;
- allowing landlords to self-certify that necessary minor repairs were completed;
- streamlining the process for determining rent reasonableness;
- not collecting information on assets valued at less than \$50,000;
- reducing the frequency of rent reviews for fixed-income households to every three years;
- streamlining the process for medical deductions; and
- possibly simplifying utility allowances.

SHA's primary goal for these modifications is to create capacity within the organization to assist housing participants in gaining access to education and employment opportunities so they can improve their lives.

Unified rent policy

In late 2010 and into 2011 SHA will explore options for creating a unified rent policy for both

public housing and housing choice voucher participants.

Seattle Senior Housing Program

SHA is pursuing a number of strategies to address the critical financial challenges associated with capital and operating needs of the Seattle Senior Housing Program while continuing to serve predominately extremely low-income seniors. In this context, SHA is exploring the feasibility of bringing some or all of these units into the public housing program. If it is decided to move forward, SHA will use its MTW flexibility to allow the program to “look and feel” much the same as it does today. SHA will also use its MTW flexibility to streamline the administrative process for bringing the units into the public housing program.

Agency assessment system

The Housing Authority will continue to work in collaboration with other MTW agencies to develop and obtain HUD approval of an alternate system for measuring the agency’s performance.

Transforming Rental Assistance

In 2011 SHA will investigate opportunities to undertake an MTW demonstration project using HUD’s Transforming Rental Assistance principles to convert selected public housing properties in the Scattered Site program or non-profit managed properties to vouchers and use the increased income to support capital upgrades.

Other goal and objectives

Maintain and expand the supply of low-income housing

- Upgrade the Jefferson Terrace elevator;
- Complete the rehab of Denny Terrace;
- Convert seven Scattered Sites units to meet Uniform Federal Accessibility Standards;

- Conduct extensive envelope repair for four Seattle Senior Housing Program buildings;
- Begin construction of 118 units of affordable housing at Rainier Vista Northeast, with about 38 coming on-line by year-end;
- Bring 86 units of affordable housing on-line at Lake City Village; and
- Continue moving forward with planning for Yesler Terrace redevelopment, including a possible Choice Neighborhoods grant from HUD and a possible partnership with Metro to develop a street car maintenance facility and housing east of Boren.

Expand housing access and choice

- Implement a number of activities to help voucher holders identify and successfully lease housing of their choice, including Ready to Rent (a six-week course for applicants);
- Expand efforts to actively recruit new landlords into the voucher program; and
- Continue to research mobility patterns and develop strategies to increase neighborhood choice among participants.

Education and employment opportunities for participants

- Partner with education providers to increase participant enrollment in early childhood and college preparation programs;
- Employ an Education Engagement Specialist to support parents in and near Yesler Terrace in advocating for their children’s education;
- Reorganize SHA staffing to offer a more coordinated and effective approach to employment and asset building services; and
- Implement free Wi-Fi services in several SHA communities in partnership with One Economy.

Increase services and housing for low-income seniors

- Examine models to best serve aging low-income baby boomers in existing housing; and
- With a development partner, pursue HUD 202 funding to develop low-income housing for seniors on SHA-owned property.

Create healthy, welcoming, and supportive living environments

- Develop and implement a set of policies to guide the agency's direction toward smoke-free housing;
- Partner with the City in implementing an urban farm at Rainier Vista;
- Support Yesler Terrace residents in their involvement in redevelopment planning; and
- Facilitate mini-grants among and between NewHolly community members.

Effective management

- Conduct ongoing operations improvement programs by evaluating the efficiency and effectiveness of all properties and programs;
- Convert that agency's myriad of property management software programs to a single system;
- Strengthen the agency's financial position by taking a number of measures to reduce debt and increase reserves;
- Identify and implement sustainable practices, including piloting food and yard waste collection and further promoting recycling;
- Partner with the City to make small modifications to at least 300 units to reduce utility consumption; and
- Promote a health, engaged, and productive workforce through efforts such as increased staff training.

II. General Housing Authority Operating Information

This section provides an overview of SHA's housing portfolio, leasing rates, and waiting list information.

Mission statement

The mission of Seattle Housing Authority is to enhance the Seattle community by creating and sustaining decent, safe and affordable living environments that foster stability and self-sufficiency for people with low incomes.

Agency overview

Seattle Housing Authority is a public corporation, providing affordable housing to more than 28,000 people. Housing is provided in locations throughout Seattle through a variety of programs that include SHA operated housing, partner operated communities, and private rental housing.

Nearly 10,000 Seattle Housing residents are elderly or disabled and more than 9,600 are children. As of the beginning of 2010, 84 percent of households had annual incomes below 30 percent of area median income; the average income was \$13,086 per year.

In keeping with its mission, the agency supports a wide range of community services for residents, including employment services, case management, and youth activities.

Funding for SHA's activities comes from a variety of sources including HUD's MTW Block Grant which SHA can use for a variety of activities in support of the agency's mission, special purpose HUD funds that can only be used for specific purposes, other government grants, tenant rents, and revenues from other activities.

MTW Block Grant-funded housing²

The majority of SHA's funding from HUD comes in the form of a block grant which combines the Public Housing operating fund, Public Housing capital fund, and MTW Housing Choice Voucher funding into one funding source for SHA to use toward its mission.

Housing Choice Vouchers

The Housing Choice Voucher program (HCV or vouchers), also known as Section 8, is a public/private partnership that provides vouchers (housing subsidies) to low-income families for use in the private rental housing market. Seattle Housing Authority administers more than 8,300 vouchers which are funded through HUD's MTW Block Grant. Participants typically pay 30 to 40 percent of their household's monthly income for rent and utilities, depending on the unit they choose. Voucher subsidy is provided through a variety of means including:

- Tenant-based (tenants can take their voucher into the private rental market);
- Project-based (subsidy stays with the unit);
- Program-based (using MTW flexibility to provide unit-based subsidy that floats within a group of units or properties); and
- Provider-based (SHA uses MTW flexibility to provide subsidy to service providers to master lease units and then sublet to

² The number of units/vouchers reflects the approximate number that are estimated to be in SHA's inventory at the beginning of 2011.

participants in need of highly-supportive housing).

Public Housing

The Low Income Public Housing program (public housing or LIPH) provides more than 5,300 units in high-rises (large apartment buildings), scattered sites (small apartment buildings, single family housing), and in communities at NewHolly, Rainier Vista, High Point, and Yesler Terrace. HUD's MTW Block Grant provides funding to help costs exceeding rental income. Households typically pay 30 percent of their monthly income for rent and utilities. About 100 of these units are leased to service providers who use the units to provide transitional housing or services to residents.

Forty units receiving public housing subsidy through SHA are owned and operated by non-profits and as traditional public housing.

Other HUD-funded housing

Special Purpose Vouchers

SHA administers about 350 vouchers provided by HUD for special purposes such as housing veterans and reuniting families. These vouchers are often awarded competitively and funding is provided outside of the MTW Block Grant.

Moderate Rehab

SHA administers HUD Section 8 Moderate Rehab funding for 759 units operated by partner non-profits serving extremely low-income individuals.

Section 8 New Construction

SHA operates 130 units of locally owned units that receive Section 8 New Construction funding and serve people with extremely low-incomes.

Local housing

Local housing programs are operated outside of HUD's MTW Block Grant. They receive no

operating subsidy except project-based vouchers in selected properties. Some MTW Block Grant funds are used for capital improvements in local housing properties serving low-income residents.

Seattle Senior Housing Program

The Seattle Senior Housing Program (SSHP or Senior Housing) was established by a 1981 Seattle bond issue. In 2011 this portfolio will likely include 22 apartment buildings throughout the city, totaling 966 units at affordable rent levels for elderly and disabled residents. SHA receives no ongoing operating subsidy for this program except program-based housing choice vouchers.

SHA will also continue to own another 65 SSHP units in two buildings. These buildings have always been operated by partner non-profits and offer unique services to the residents.

Tax Credit and Other Affordable Housing

SHA operates more than 1,500 units of housing in about 35 townhomes and small apartment complexes throughout Seattle, including low- and moderate-income rental housing in the agency's redeveloped family communities (NewHolly, Rainier Vista, and High Point). These units do not receive ongoing operating subsidy, with the exception of project-based housing choice vouchers in selected units.

Changes in housing inventory

SHA forecasts the changes in housing resources between January 1, 2011 and December 31, 2011 as outlined below and in *Table 1: Changes in housing inventory*:

Housing choice vouchers

SHA was awarded 100 Family Unification Program vouchers in 2010, increasing the projected number of vouchers from what was

included in the 2010 Annual Plan. SHA also applied for 100 vouchers for people leaving assisted living or nursing home care who are disabled and non-elderly. Vouchers that have not been awarded are not reflected in *Table 1 – Changes in Housing Inventory*. No other change to SHA’s overall voucher authority is anticipated, although SHA will take advantage of any opportunities to apply for more vouchers.

Units to receive new project-based voucher assistance

Approximately seven Housing Choice Vouchers will be project-based at Rainier Vista Northeast in 2011, depending on construction schedules. Details of these units are provided in Appendix C.

Through a Notices of Funding Availability issued in partnership with the City of Seattle, 70 new project-based vouchers will be issued to projects which will be ready for occupancy in 2011. Through a Request for Proposals, also in partnership with the City, 50 units will be awarded High Point replacement vouchers. Details of the specific projects are not available, since the projects have not yet been chosen. Therefore, they will be described in the 2011 Annual Report.

SHA may also project-base up to 30 vouchers in The Ritz Apartments, an SHA-owned property in Central Seattle.

Any project-based commitments outlined in SHA’s 2010 Annual Plan that are not completed in 2010 will be implemented in 2011. One of the commitments outline in the 2010 Plan is to project-base vouchers in 30 units in Phase III of NewHolly. These units are currently public housing. If not completed in 2010, SHA will work with HUD in 2011 to determine the best process for converting these units.

Public housing

Lake City Village construction will be completed in 2011, bringing 51 new public housing units to north Seattle.

Construction of Rainier Vista Northeast will be underway in 2011, with completion planned in 2012. By the end of 2011, 25 public housing units are expected to come on line.

As described in SHA’s 2010 Annual Plan, in response to local needs and opportunities, SHA anticipates using MTW authority to change the use of 22 units on the seventh floor of Jefferson Terrace. These units will be used for a medical respite care program in conjunction with the Seattle-King County Ten-Year Plan to End Homelessness. However, as the units will still be used to provide housing to extremely low-income households, SHA’s overall unit count will not change due to this activity.

Potential changes not reflected in *Table 1*: SHA is exploring the feasibility of bringing some or all of the units in the Senior Housing portfolio into the public housing program. Senior Housing has significant capital needs that cannot be met under the current financing structure, which relies exclusively on tenant rents for operating and capital reserves. Conversion to public housing would provide subsidy to keep the units affordable to extremely low-income seniors. SHA will evaluate all of the impacts of such a decision along with stakeholders and seek City Council approval prior to implementation. SHA’s will use MTW flexibility to allow the program to “look and feel” much the same as it does today. Authority granted in SHA’s MTW agreement with HUD will also be used to add these units to the public housing program, including use of its local acquisition protocol. Because a firm number of units has not yet been determined, this change is not reflected in *Table 1: Changes in Housing Inventory*.

As previously stated in “*Units to receive new project-based voucher assistance*,” SHA may seek

to convert up to 30 public housing units in Phase III of NewHolly to project-based housing choice vouchers in order to improve the financial stability of this property. *Table 1: Changes in Housing Inventory* does not reflect this potential activity.

Disposition and demolition activity

In 2011 SHA may seek HUD approval for the demolition and/or disposition of:

- Up to 100 Scattered Sites units, as part of a possible extension of the scattered sites repositioning strategy begun in 2005 (this would be pursued as part of a potential partnership opportunity to replace the inefficient units in a transit-oriented development);
- A portion of Yesler Terrace if necessary to support the implementation of the Street Car Barn as described in Section III; and
- SHA may also request dispositions outlined in prior year plans if not already requested in 2010, including but not limited to, Holly Court land and buildings for redevelopment and up to 30 units in the third phase of NewHolly to be converted to project-based Housing Choice Vouchers, and up to four scattered sites units as part of the disposition process started in 2005.

Local housing

At Lake City Village, 35 tax credit units will come on line in addition to the 51 public housing units described previously.

In addition to the project-based voucher and public housing units previously mentioned, Rainier Vista Northeast will include 21 new workforce housing units in 2011.

In 2010 SHA plans to transfer ownership of South Park Manor (27 units), Keystone (32 units), and Coach House (8 units) to non-profit partners. City Council approval is needed to

complete transactions for these locally-funded properties.

As SHA continues to reposition its assets to advance its mission and strategic priorities, the agency may also dispose of other locally-funded parcels. These possibilities are not reflected in *Table 1*.

Major capital activities

MTW Block Grant funds

None of SHA's 2011 capital activities utilize 30 percent or more of capital funding provided by HUD through SHA's MTW Block Grant. Activities using the most significant portions of this source are \$3 million for Yesler Terrace redevelopment planning and about \$3 million in debt service for the three phases of homeWorks through the Capital Fund Financing Program (CFFP). Major capital activities are described in more detail in *Section III*.

Other Federal capital funds

American Recovery and Reinvestment Act

In 2009 SHA received \$45 million in American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) funding for several significant and much-needed capital activities including infrastructure work and rental housing development at Rainier Vista, renovation of Bell Tower and Denny Terrace, and rental housing construction at Lake City Village. These funds are outside of MTW and follow ARRA reporting requirements. Each of these projects that will be under construction in 2011 is described in Section III.

Competitive Federal development/ redevelopment funding

If Congress approves redevelopment funds (such as HOPE VI, Choice Neighborhoods Initiative, or Sustainable Communities Initiative) SHA or its partners may submit funding applications. Based on the final funding criteria and other

information available at the time, SHA may seek funds from any or all of these sources. Possible projects include:

- existing SHA properties located at or near Holly Court and in the Yesler Terrace neighborhood, and
- partnership opportunities including a transit-oriented project led by King County Metro in the Northgate area and the

redevelopment of the Qwest Field North Lot.

In addition, SHA is working with a development partner in hopes of obtaining HUD Section 202 or 811 funding in the next few years. The site(s) for the potential 202/811 project(s) has not yet been selected.

Table 1: Changes in housing inventory

Housing Program	2009 year end (actual)	2011 beginning (projected)	2011 year end (projected)
MTW Block Grant-funded housing			
Housing Choice Vouchers tenant-based	5,263	5,576	5,514
Housing Choice Vouchers project-based – partner-owned	2,031	2,201	2,349
Housing Choice Vouchers project-based – SHA-owned	326	346	360
Housing Choice Vouchers program-based – SHA-owned	150	150	150
Housing Choice Vouchers provider-based	59	65	65
<i>Housing Choice Voucher subtotal</i>	7,829	8,338	8,438
Low-Income Public Housing *	5,221	5,262	5,338
Low-Income Public Housing – partner-owned	40	40	40
<i>Public Housing subtotal</i>	5,261	5,302	5,378
MTW Block Grant-funded Housing Total	13,090	13,640	13,816
Other HUD-funded housing			
Housing Choice Vouchers special purpose***	709	353	253
Section 8 New Construction	130	130	130
Section 8 Moderate Rehab	759	759	759
Other HUD-funded Housing Total	1,598	1,242	1,142
Local housing			
Seattle Senior Housing Program *	993	966	966
Seattle Senior Housing Program – operated by partners	97	65	65
Tax credit housing (without public housing subsidy)	629	661	696
Other affordable housing	940	813	813
Local Housing Total	2,659	2,505	2,540
Managed by SHA for other owners	14	6	6
Total Housing**	16,871	16,891	16,988

*Includes residential units leased to agencies that provide transitional housing or supportive services and units for live-in staff.

**Due to project-basing and program-basing of Housing Choice Vouchers in Local Housing, Total Housing is the sum of all housing units minus Housing Choice Vouchers-MTW Project-based – SHA-owned and Program-based – SHA-owned. Managed by SHA for other owners is also not included in Total Housing.

***In its 2009 Annual Report, SHA erroneously excluded three special purpose vouchers from the housing inventory provided in Section III.

Leasing information

The following table (Table 2) represents actual

and projected utilization rates for vouchers and occupancy rates for SHA-operated housing.

Table 2: Actual and projected leasing rates

HOUSING PROGRAM	2008 (actual)	2010 (projected-updated)	2011 (projected)
Housing Choice Vouchers-MTW	96%	99.6%	99.6%
Housing Choice Vouchers-Non-MTW	96%	99.6%	99.6%
Low Income Public Housing	97%	97%	98%
Local Housing	95%	96%	96%

Anticipated leasing issues

The current economic conditions have slowed turn-over in subsidized housing considerably, creating low vacancies in public housing and high Voucher utilization. No leasing issues are anticipated in these programs.

Vacancies in non-subsidized units are on par with the local rental market and are not expected to improve considerably in 2011.

Waiting list information

Waiting list strategies

Seattle Housing Authority's waiting list strategies vary to match the needs of different properties and housing programs. Applicants may be, and often are, on multiple waiting lists at the same time.

Housing choice vouchers

A single tenant-based waiting list is maintained by SHA. A list of 4,000 applicants was established through a lottery in 2008. Project-based Housing Choice Voucher properties operate their own site-specific waiting lists.

SHA-operated housing

Site-specific waiting lists are offered for all of SHA's affordable housing properties. The three largest communities (NewHolly, High Point, and Rainier Vista) operate waiting lists on-site.

All other site-specific waiting lists are maintained centrally, by program, to maximize efficiencies and choice. The waiting lists for the Seattle Senior Housing Program and public housing in traditional communities are updated on an ongoing basis through the use of Save My Spot, a system that allows applicants to check in monthly by phone or computer to indicate their continued interest in housing opportunities with SHA. Opportunities to utilize MTW flexibility to pilot filling vacancies in one or more selected properties without a traditional waiting list are still being pursued.

Anticipated waiting list changes

Housing choice vouchers

The tenant-based Housing Choice Voucher waiting list has been closed since 2008. SHA does not anticipate opening the list in 2011 unless the current waiting list (projected to have 1,900 applicants are the beginning of the year) is depleted faster than expected. However, as new project-based properties open in 2011, more waiting list options will become available to potential tenants.

SHA-operated housing

Given the current economic climate and SHA's low vacancy rates, waiting lists are expected to continue to grow for most SHA operated properties in 2011. With the exception of selected bedroom sizes at NewHolly, SHA's

waiting lists remain open. SHA may, however, close additional waiting lists where the number of applicants is particularly high.

The following is a summary of the number of applicants on waiting lists for SHA-operated housing as of July 31, 2010 (note that there can be overlap among lists as applicants are allowed to apply for multiple programs):

- Public housing – 6,000

- HOPE VI (all housing programs) – 16,500
- SSHP - 600
- Other affordable housing – 4,250

A number of potential improvements to waiting list processes were outlined in SHA's HUD-approved 2009 MTW Annual Plan. These changes, if not already implemented, may be pursued in 2011.

III. Non-MTW Related Housing Authority Information

This section highlights selected activities planned for 2011 in support of the agency's 2011-2015 Strategic Plan. This section is intended to provide a comprehensive view of Seattle Housing Authorities key activities and to provide context for the MTW activities detailed elsewhere in this Plan. This section is not required and SHA is not requesting HUD-approval for this section.

Seattle Housing Authority, in consultation with staff, residents, and stakeholders, developed a Strategic Plan for 2011-2015. The new plan charts the agency's course as it strives to fulfill its mission and support the needs and aspirations of low-income people as they move toward greater stability, security and self-sufficiency. This section describes key activities planned for 2011 toward implementation of the five strategic directions and three management strategies outlined in the Strategic Plan.

MTW activities included in this section are identified with an MTW Activity Number in parenthesis that corresponds to an MTW activity outlined in Section V or Section VI.

Strategic Direction #1 - Expand housing opportunities for low-income residents across Seattle by maintaining and expanding the supply of low-income housing.

Maintain and improve existing housing stock for the long term.

In order to maintain and improve SHA's existing housing stock over the long-term, additional resources will be needed. The agency will aggressively explore options that may emerge from HUD to increase subsidy, and pursue new financing options such as Transforming Rental Assistance.

Public housing

Although SHA has leveraged its capital subsidy from HUD to renovate 21 high-rise buildings over the last few years, significant capital needs remain throughout the portfolio. SHA's 700 scattered sites properties and buildings not renovated under homeWorks have a back log of need. Life-cycle repairs, such as elevators, roofs, and windows reaching the end of their useful life, continue to mount. To that end, SHA will work in 2011 to make progress possible with available funds.

Jefferson Terrace: Jefferson Terrace, a 299-unit high-rise in downtown Seattle, is in need of significant renovations. Due to the size of the property, renovations are costly and, given current resources, must be approached in phases. In 2011 SHA will address needed elevator repairs, and begin a building heating system planning study. Funding options will continue to be explored for a complete rehab of the building.

Denny Terrace: Renovations to the approximately 220-unit Denny Terrace, using American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) funds, will result in a 33 percent increase in energy efficiency. Planned activities include electrical and ventilation improvements, replacement of shower valves and unit plumbing fixtures, new insulated windows, new exterior insulated finishing system, and common area upgrades. Construction will start in late 2010 and be completed toward the end of 2011.

Holly Court: Revitalizing Holly Court is a high priority for Seattle Housing Authority. Holly Court was constructed to low standards and has aluminum wiring and other flawed building systems that make rehabilitation impractical. In addition, the design of the community detracts from public safety and the overall revitalization of the NewHolly neighborhood. SHA will continue to work to identify replacement options for the 97-unit community and plan for the re-use of the site and adjacent properties that SHA owns. Funding opportunities will be pursued, including possibly applying for a HOPE VI grant from HUD.

Universal Federal Accessibility Standards: In 2007 SHA entered into an agreement with HUD's Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity to increase the number of SHA's low-income public housing units that meet Universal Federal Accessibility Standards (UFAS) for people with disabilities to 263. This increase will be accomplished by 2014. In 2011 SHA will complete the retrofit 11 units at Denny Terrace and retrofit seven units in Scattered Sites. This will bring the total UFAS units to 190 of the planned 263.

Seattle Senior Housing Program

Background: The Seattle Senior Housing Program (SSHP or Senior Housing) began in the early 1980's with proceeds from a City of Seattle levy. The portfolio receives no operating subsidy and, until nearly 20 years into the program, had no means for establishing capital reserves. In 2003, in consultation with the community and residents, a new rent policy was implemented that created a capital reserve while still serving at least 75 percent extremely low-income residents.

However, capital funding generated through rents has proven insufficient for the extensive water intrusion-related capital needs, upcoming elevator improvements, and life-cycle repairs and replacements required to maintain these valuable communities. In response to the

identified capital needs of the Senior Housing portfolio, a sub-committee of the Senior Housing rent review committee was established to help SHA develop strategies for extending the physical life of the buildings while preserving the mission of the program.

Current activity: In 2007 SHA prioritized 14 Senior Housing buildings for building envelope repairs to address critical needs and mitigate further damage from water intrusion. By the end of 2010, new siding and windows on three of these buildings will be completed. In 2011 SHA will complete building envelope repairs in two of these buildings (Nelson Manor and Olmsted Manor). These buildings will receive elevator repairs, new windows and siding. Funding for this work comes from a variety of sources including Senior Housing reserves, SHA's MTW Block Grant, and City weatherization funds. SHA has applied for State Housing Trust Fund money. If received, additional buildings (Bitter Lake Manor and Blakeley Manor) will be repaired.

Long-term approach: For the long term financial health of SSHP, SHA will continue to work with the SSHP Rent Policy Review Committee and other partners to develop a plan to address emergent needs and options for long-term funding. SHA will continue assessing alternatives for new funding support of SSHP properties sufficient to meet operating and capital costs in the future, including changes in rent policies and use of potential federal subsidy opportunities.

SHA is exploring the potential for converting some of the SSHP portfolio to Public Housing, using SHA's residual capacity of public housing units. SHA will compare the impacts of maintaining the original rent policy to fully fund operations and capital from rent revenues to the option of converting SSHP buildings to public housing that would provide operating and capital subsidy, in addition to affordable rents,

to provide for the future operating and capital needs of the Senior Housing portfolio.

All rental housing

Carbon Monoxide Alarms: The Washington State Building Code Council adopted a new code requiring carbon monoxide alarms in existing dwelling and sleeping units by July 1, 2011. To meet this requirement SHA will need to install over 10,000 of these alarms at an estimated cost of \$2 million. The unfunded mandate is dampening the agency's ability to attend to other capital needs such as water intrusion repair and the rehab of Jefferson Terrace. SHA will be applying to HUD for Emergency Safety and Security Funding to cover a small portion of this unexpected expense in public housing units.

Complete plans for residential and mixed-use development at High Point, Rainier Vista, and NewHolly.

Rainier Vista redevelopment

Rental housing in Phase I of Rainier Vista was completed in 2006. Phase I for-sale housing is nearing completion. Phase II and III of Rainier Vista are east of Martin Luther King Jr. Way (MLK) and involve about 200 affordable rental units. Phase II-Tamarack Place is south of Oregon Street. Phase III-Rainier Vista Northeast is north of Oregon Street.

Lease up of the 83 units (71 affordable to households earning at or below 30 percent of area median income and 12 workforce housing units) of Tamarack Place will be completed in late 2010/early 2011. Seattle Housing anticipates that Tamarack Place's 10,000 square feet of transit-oriented retail space will also be leased up within the same timeframe timeline.

Rainier Vista Northeast infrastructure began in 2009 and will be completed in late 2010. Rental housing in this phase will be comprised of 118 units which will be under construction in 2011

and 2012 with units coming on line as soon as the Fall of 2011.

ARRA Funds: The Rainier Vista redevelopment has received both formula and competitive ARRA funds. Phase II-Tamarack Place has \$3,189,191 of formula ARRA funds which have all been obligated and expended to in 2010. Phase III-Northeast infrastructure has \$10,380,607 of formula ARRA funds which have been obligated and will be fully expended by the end of 2010. The Phase III-Northeast rental housing received \$10,000,000 in competitive ARRA funds that were obligated mid-2010 and will be fully expended by mid-2012.

For-Sale Housing: In 2011 for-sale housing in Phase I will move significantly closer to completion. Habitat for Humanity will complete their final 4 units on Block 4 and should begin construction of the first of 11 units scheduled for Block 5. Bennett Homes is scheduled to complete and sell their final eight townhomes on Block 16.

Planned for Phase II North (also referred to as Phase III) are 110 townhomes and single family homes. These will be in addition to the 118 rental units scheduled for completion in 2012. The construction of the for-sale homes will commence in early 2011 and are expected to be completed no later than 2013.

Mixed-Use Sites: The last remaining unsold parcel in Phase I is a 45-unit mixed-use site adjacent to the Light Rail station. Construction on this block is not anticipated to start until 2012 or 2013. The goal is to have a portion of the units in this building sold to buyers with incomes less than 80 percent of area median income.

In Phase II there are two additional mixed-use sites for sale – Blocks 28 and 43. Pending funding applications, a portion of Block 43 may be developed as a 50-unit low income rental building. Construction could begin in late 2011 or 2012. The remainder of the block would be

sold for townhouses with construction beginning in 2012. Block 28 is also adjacent to the Light Rail station and could be developed as a 40-unit multifamily building. Construction on this block is not anticipated to start until 2012 or 2013.

High Point redevelopment

In 2009 SHA completed construction of all 600 rental units planned for High Point. Construction of for-sale homes were completed in Phase I and the new Neighborhood Center opened. In 2010 and continuing into 2011, SHA's development priorities at High Point are the completion of for-sale housing and the development of the mixed-use site.

For-Sale Housing: While the for-sale program in Phase I is complete, the current housing market has stalled the development of Phase II home ownership units. Depending on final builder proposals, Phase II has the capacity for 340-400 for-sale homes, of which more than 48 will be affordable to households earning less than 80 percent of area median income. Because of the slow market, SHA is not expecting Phase II for sale housing to be complete until 2014.

Habitat will complete 12 of those affordable homes in 2011. Overall, this will be in addition to the 32 affordable homes already provided in Phase 1.

Mixed-use Site: The environmental clean up of the mixed-use site at the corner of 35th Avenue SW and SW Graham Street will be completed in 2010. SHA had been working with a developer who was planning up to 220 units and 13,000 square feet of retail. However, due to market conditions, this deal fell through. SHA is now exploring a deal that would involve 70-90 townhomes for the site, with construction beginning as early as 2011.

NewHolly redevelopment

All rental housing in NewHolly was completed in 2005. In addition, by the end of 2008 all of the

for-sale homes at NewHolly were constructed and sold except for 40 units in NewHolly Phase II. SHA's development focus has shifted to the underdeveloped commercial area adjacent the northeast corner of Othello Station.

For-Sale Housing: The completion of "Village Homes," the last for-sale homes in the NewHolly community was delayed by the real estate recession. Bennett Homes expects to complete the remaining 24 homes in 2011.

Mixed-use Site: SHA previously acquired the southwest corner of the Othello / MLK intersection adjacent to the new Light Rail station. The real estate market has resulted in the slowing of efforts to redevelop properties SHA has acquired in the area. SHA will continue negotiating agreements with potential builders for one site, but doesn't expect construction to begin until late 2012.

Complete Lake City Village redevelopment by 2012

Lake City Village redevelopment

Mid-year in 2011, the new 86-unit Lake City Village rental building will be completed and made available for occupancy. The building will include 51 family rentals designated to serve residents earning 30 percent or less of the area median income (AMI). These units will increase the housing stock (they are not replacement units) in North Seattle, where family-size public housing units are scarce. The remaining 35 units are tax-credit units serving households earning 60 percent or less of AMI.

The completion of the rental housing project includes the Neighborhood Network Center, which will be available to Lake City Village residents and residents of the adjacent Lake City House. The building includes a community room and office space. Outside, a new playground, a community gathering space with barbecue areas, and a community garden will be built. The street and sidewalk in front of the

building will adhere to the new green street standards, as envisioned by the 33rd Avenue Vision Group, which promote a safer and more pleasant pedestrian experience. The entrance area of Lake City House, the existing 115-unit public housing high-rise, will be re-done to better accommodate wheelchair users and ACCESS buses. A new accessible path will connect 33rd and 35th Ave NE, which will allow for non-motorized access through the middle of this superbblock.

The first families are scheduled for move-in in August 2011, prior to the beginning of the new school year. SHA's Resident Services division will provide a variety of services to the new residents.

For-sale housing: SHA will make a decision on the timing of the homeownership component when market conditions become favorable. The plans call for the creation of 12 market-rate and 5 affordable homeownership units. Once conditions favor development, SHA will sell the land to one or more builders, and will work with them on creating a program and design that fits the goals and vision of the redevelopment.

ARRA Funds: At Lake City Village, ARRA funds are used to create an energy-efficient, green community through new construction. ARRA funding will pay a portion of construction costs, the one-time cost of implementing the Green Communities Criteria features including photovoltaic (PV) panels for clean on-site energy generation and healthy indoor building materials, and a portion of the construction cost of community facilities. With the help of ARRA dollars, SHA is building the State's greenest affordable housing project. Lake City Village will have a much smaller carbon footprint than regular built-to-code new construction that, as a result of the extensive use of healthy building materials and components, it will promote and contribute to the well-being of 86 low-income families.

ARRA funds allocated to the project will be fully expended by mid-2011, approximately one year ahead of the mandated schedule.

Move forward with Yesler Terrace redevelopment

In 2011 Seattle Housing will move forward with Yesler Terrace redevelopment in a manner that is consistent with the Definitions and Guiding Principles, creating a new urban neighborhood that serves the needs of diverse residents and enhances the city and region.

With the completion of renewal of three of four family housing communities, the primary focus for the next decade must be on realizing the transformation of Yesler Terrace as a new mixed-income and mixed-use urban community. Yesler Terrace is the oldest of Seattle's public housing communities. Built in the early 1940s, it no longer meets the needs of the people who live there. Its physical structures are failing and its infrastructure is breaking down. It represents one of the greatest opportunities and, at the same time, one of the most daunting challenges facing the housing authority.

Planning for Yesler Terrace redevelopment has been underway for a few years. Five project alternatives have been developed for Yesler Terrace with input from the Board of Commissioners, the Yesler Terrace Citizen Review Committee (CRC), and from residents, neighbors, stakeholders, and the City of Seattle. The process to study the potential environmental impact of these alternatives began in 2010 and the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is expected to be finalized in early 2011. This information will facilitate the selection of a preferred alternative for use in more refined site planning activities and permitting. The zoning and permitting process of the preferred alternative is anticipated to begin in early 2011.

Due to the size and complexity of this project, redevelopment will be accomplished incrementally, allowing many Yesler residents to stay onsite during the construction process. Residents required to relocate temporarily will receive relocation benefits and assistance finding housing. Construction is slated to begin, at the earliest, in 2012.

Choice Neighborhoods

The Housing Authority intends to submit a Choice Neighborhoods implementation grant application in the Fall of 2010. Grant funding, combined with match monies and other leveraged dollars, will fund the first phase (approximately 200 units) of replacement housing associated with the Yesler Terrace redevelopment. In addition to replacing dilapidated housing units, the Transformation Plan crafted by the Authority and other stakeholders will address educational opportunities, economic self-sufficiency, and other support services within the boundary area.

East of Boren

SHA is exploring a partnership with the City of Seattle to co-locate housing with a streetcar maintenance base on Yesler Terrace property located east of Boren Avenue. Should the project move ahead, the Housing Authority would build approximately 200 units of housing associated with the Yesler Terrace redevelopment.

Other 2011 milestones

Other 2011 milestones for the redevelopment of the Yesler Terrace neighborhood include:

- Develop social infrastructure, economic opportunity, sustainability, replacement housing, relocation and phasing plans.
- Identify Phase I funding, partnerships and replacement housing strategy.
- Complete necessary zoning and other regulatory changes in cooperation with the City of Seattle by 2011 or mid-2012.

Work with partners to take advantage of opportunities to develop new low-income and workforce housing.

Through Seattle Housing Authority's mixed-used developments and Yesler Terrace neighborhood redevelopment, described above, partners are being actively sought to increase affordable housing on existing SHA-owned parcels. In addition, Seattle Housing Authority partners with a variety of government and non-profit organizations in the city to maximize the potential of success for opportunities to bring more affordable housing to the city.

Fort Lawton

In 2008 SHA, together with the City of Seattle, led a community planning effort to create a reuse plan for the surplus portion of this former Army Base. The reuse plan proposal includes a mix of housing including single family and attached for-sale units, housing for the homeless and self-help ownership units. The reuse plan was submitted to HUD and the Army for review in late 2008. In 2011 SHA and the City of Seattle will continue final discussions with the US Army on the final terms for acquisition. If successful, SHA expects that work on the entitlements and final site plans will commence in 2011 or 2012.

Community Challenge Grant

SHA will be a co-applicant with the City of Seattle for a Community Challenge Grant from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development and the U.S. Department of Transportation. This grant will implement established transit-oriented development (TOD) plans around four light rail stations in central and southeast Seattle, leveraging zoning changes now underway. It will fund specific tools to secure land for affordable housing, remove barriers to market-rate TOD, and strengthen existing businesses and community institutions in diverse, rapidly-changing station areas.

If funded, SHA will serve as the land-banking agency for the project. In addition, SHA will engage in transit-oriented development (TOD) planning for the Othello Station area in support of SHA's NewHolly community, which includes 620 affordable rental units, and the planned redevelopment of Holly Court (see page 13).

Sustainable Communities Regional Planning Grant

SHA is a partner in Puget Sound Regional Council's application for a HUD Sustainable Communities Regional Planning Grant application which will be submitted in 2010. If funded, SHA will participate in the development of a transit-oriented project near the Northgate Metro Transit Center and planned Northgate Light Rail station. SHA's role will include analysis of affordable housing options.

Henderson mixed-use site

SHA is seeking to sell a mixed-use parcel on Henderson Avenue near the Rainier Beach Light Rail station and adjacent to South Shore Court apartments. This parcel has potential for up to 75-100 rental or for-sale units. Numerous developers have expressed interest to develop the site with a mix of affordable and market rate units. The earliest construction would commence would be 2011.

Qwest Field - North Lot

SHA is the affordable housing developer in a major mixed-use project on the north parking lot of Qwest Field. In 2010 the master developer received their land use entitlements and began discussions with SHA about how to fulfill the project's affordable housing commitments. Depending on the master developer's timeline, SHA anticipates being involved in conceptual and schematic design for 75-90 workforce housing units in 2011.

Work in partnership with agencies and nonprofits across the city to end

homelessness in Seattle and King County.

Seattle Housing Authority provides leadership in policy discussions and initiatives of the Seattle King County Committee to End Homelessness. The agency's commitments to providing housing opportunities toward the Committee's goals will continue. MTW authority is used to lease at least 100 units to partners who directly serve chronically homeless people and to make Housing Choice Voucher subsidies available to partner organizations that provide supportive housing for homeless people with severe disabilities. SHA will also explore new programs that could directly serve people leaving homelessness.

Strategic Direction #2 - Expand housing access and choice for low-income residents across Seattle.

Pursue opportunities to add to the agency's supply of Housing Choice Vouchers

Apply for new vouchers

SHA will apply for new vouchers as they become available through HUD. In particular, SHA will pursue vouchers specifically to serve veterans, families seeking re-unification and other special needs populations.

Alternative subsidies

SHA will explore opportunities as an MTW agency to provide short-term and/or smaller subsidies to meet specific program goals. If implementation in 2011 seems feasible, this will be submitted to HUD as an MTW activity for approval.

Increase access to housing in areas of the city where it has traditionally been less available to low-income residents

Over the last two years, SHA staff members have been working to better understand why voucher participants are underrepresented in various parts of the City. In 2011 research will continue and action steps will be taken to improve mobility among voucher participants. Activities include:

- Develop new materials and resources to help with housing searches, including information about neighborhoods, access to tools to search for available housing, access to a Housing Counselor for one-on-one assistance;
- Implement Ready to Rent, a new six-week course to prepare applicants to be successful tenants with a focus on improving credit and overcoming common barriers to finding housing;
- Continue to research voucher holders' experience searching for housing in Seattle, in order to inform the development of programs and services that help participants overcome common obstacles to finding housing or moving to neighborhoods underrepresented by voucher holders;
- Develop new program and information materials for landlords on the benefits of the HCV program, and an outreach plan to actively recruit new landlords to the program;
- Survey current landlords and landlords who have never participated in the program to identify potential problem areas and the barriers they see to becoming a HCV landlord;
- Research the availability housing affordable within HUD's Fair Market Rent (FMR) in neighborhoods currently underrepresented by voucher holders;
- Continue to explore ways to further outreach to Landlords in underutilized areas of the city; and

- Analyze baseline location patterns and develop a data system to track changes/trends and inform policy decisions.

Strategic Direction #3 - Assist housing participants in gaining access to education and employment opportunities so they can improve their lives.

Improve access to educational opportunities for youth from pre-school through college.

Early learning

SHA Community Services staff will work with families and partner agencies to increase the enrollment of children of SHA housing participants are enrolled in either a Head Start or similar type of program.

Promote educational opportunities

SHA will promote educational opportunities in a number of ways.

- SHA will continue to contract for youth tutoring services which provides skill building tutoring to approximately 450 youth living in five SHA communities. This program links closely with classroom teachers to ensure the tutoring program complements what the students are learning in the school classroom.
- Efforts to work with the State Higher Education Coordination Board and the College Success Foundation will be increased to ensure that middle school youth for whom SHA provides housing assistance are enrolled in the College Bound Scholarship program. This program provides scholarship funding to low-income youth who attend a state-funded trade

school, technical school, college or university in Washington.

- SHA is working with the non-profit Neighborhood House and others to create a Harlem Children's Zone type of educational support services pipeline for the High Point community. Neighborhood House has submitted a Promise Neighborhood application and is waiting to see if they will receive a grant. With or without the Department of Education funding, SHA is committed to working with Neighborhood House on the development of the pipeline and the eventual education and health outcomes it is intended to meet:

Education advocacy

SHA is starting a new initiative by utilizing Gates Foundation funding to hire a Education Engagement Specialist for one year. This position will start in the fall of 2010 and will assist and partner with Yesler Terrace parents and other low-income residents in the surrounding neighborhood to have a stronger voice in the planning for educational resources. There are many education advocacy organizations in Seattle, both at the school and city-wide levels. However, it appears that the participation of low-income parents who directly voice their wishes for their children's education is limited. The Education Engagement Specialist will first listen to Yesler parents about what issues about education for which they would like assistance advocating. Then a plan will be devised for conducting the advocacy, including training the parents in methods for taking the lead for themselves.

Develop a single economic opportunity program, integrated across housing programs and departments, for adult housing participants.

Single economic opportunities program

In 2010 SHA developed a five year economic opportunities strategic plan which will be implemented starting in 2011. A foundational block of this plan is to create a single economic opportunities program. Currently, SHA operates several different programs, driven largely by current or former funders' (including HUD's) desire to be very prescriptive in what and how services are offered. A first step in implementing this effort is to co-locate our employment services and Family Self-Sufficiency/Tenant Trust Account staff together in offices throughout the city. These staff will take a common approach in working with all clients, regardless of what kind of economic opportunities services (e.g. savings accounts, training, job placement, etc.) they request.. SHA also intends to renew its focus on the whole family as part of this single economic opportunities program. This means working with adults on the needs of their children, particularly pertaining to education, in addition to their own employment-related needs.

To ensure that this approach is successful and outcomes are met, SHA is aggressively engaging partner agencies such as the Workforce Development Council, Seattle Jobs Initiatives and Neighborhood House to develop a stronger referral and outcome tracking system. Through partnerships with agencies, SHA residents will be able to be referred to job sector training programs. For example, in 2011 residents will continue to receive pre-apprenticeship training in order to obtain jobs on ARRA funded projects for which SHA is utilizing green construction techniques. The local Workforce Development Council financially supports pre-apprenticeship training at Seattle Vocational Institute for Section 3 residents funding under a U.S. Department of Labor Pathways out of Poverty grant.

Community Services economic opportunities staff will continue to provide employment

services and make 100 job placements in 2011 with an average hourly wage of at least \$11.50, and at least 75 percent of placements having benefits.

Section 3 program

In 2011 SHA will continue to promote Section 3 to businesses pursuing contracting opportunities and ensure that every new hire position available on an SHA construction project be filled with a Section 3 eligible person if qualified and available.

Technology access

Technology labs: In 2011 SHA will have one active Neighborhood Networks (NN) grant from HUD to support the Yesler Terrace Learning Center, operated by the Associated Recreation Council. This grant will phase out in 2011. The lab offers high-speed Internet access, software training, English as a Second Language, and classes designed specifically for youth and seniors. SHA has two other labs, one at Center Park, which provides accessible computer equipment and Internet services to residents with disabilities, and the other at the seniors only public housing high-rise building Westwood Heights.

Wi-Fi service: SHA will partner with One Economy, a national non-profit, during 2011 to implement a federal technology ARRA grant awarded to One Economy. Under the grant, all low income rental units at the SHA garden communities, plus the Denny Terrace public housing high-rise, will receive Wi-Fi services. The infrastructure will be set up in 2011 with some, if not all, units receiving Wi-Fi services by the end of 2011. The services will be free of charge for the first two years, and then Wi-Fi services will be via a subscription system for a small monthly fee.

Increase financial security and optimal self-sufficiency for all housing participants.

Asset building

SHA will continue to encourage savings and assist clients with credit counseling in partnership with the Seattle-King County Asset Building Collaborative. The Collaborative oversees the Bank on Seattle initiative which encourages low-income people to use the banking system through a variety of incentives offered by member banks and credit unions. In addition, SHA will continue to provide savings through the Family Self-Sufficiency and Tenant Trust Account programs.

Family Self-Sufficiency Program: In 2011 SHA's Family Self-Sufficiency program (FSS) will continue to:

- enroll and maintain up to 210 participants from the Housing Choice Voucher and up to 70 participants from public housing;
- provide comprehensive case management and coordination of services with local providers;
- provide financial literacy, long-term financial planning and home ownership counseling.
- implement the proposed FSS policy changes approved in SHA's 2007 MTW Plan; and
- increase the membership of the Program Coordinating Committee (PCC) to provide more services and opportunities for housing participants.

Tenant Trust Accounts: The purpose of the Tenant Trust Account (TTA) program is to enhance public housing residents' economic self-sufficiency by helping them to save for home ownership, education, or to start a small business. SHA establishes a TTA on behalf of eligible households that choose to participate, depositing a portion of the household's monthly rent payment into the account. Deposits range from \$10 to \$170 per month depending on household income and rent paid, up to a lifetime

maximum of \$10,000. In 2011 SHA may make programmatic changes to better tie the TTA to individual and agency goals for tenant economic self-sufficiency and to align with the economic self-sufficiency restructuring.

Self-sufficiency for aging and disabled residents

Aging and Disability Services: In 2011 SHA will continue partnering with Aging and Disability Services (ADS) to provide longer-term case management support and eviction prevention services to residents of SHA public housing and Senior Housing communities. ADS serves approximately 1,400 SHA clients annually and provides 8,502 hours of service in the buildings.

Mental health case management: In 2011 SHA will continue to partner with Community Psychiatric Clinic (CPC) to provide mental health case management and eviction prevention services to high-rise residents in crisis. Three CPC case managers assist residents through outreach, needs assessment and referral. CPC works closely with property managers and Aging and Disability Services (ADS) case managers in order to support the residents and be able to provide them with the necessary services. CPC serves approximately 130 SHA residents annually and provides 864 building hours.

Strategic Direction #4 - Provide additional services and increase the stock of housing for low-income seniors.

Continuum of care for the aging

SHA has participated in an inter-agency working group examining the housing and services needs of elders in anticipation of the Baby Boomer generation coming into its senior years. This working group commissioned Cedar River Group to conduct a study regarding housing and services needs. The study “Quiet Crisis” was

distributed widely to key external stakeholders in both Seattle and King County. Following this model of examining senior related issues in partnership with other stakeholder agencies, SHA hopes to collaborate with the same agencies in 2011 on a second study in order to examine the best service models for serving the aging low-income baby boomer population in existing SHA facilities and other low-income facilities. Based on the most promising models, the consultant report would propose a continuum of care for seniors in existing SHA facilities and other low-income facilities that co-funders of the study would want to include. SHA will use the findings from this study to inform our planning on developing a continuum of care for elderly low income residents.

Engage partners who can bring additional subsidies to develop new senior housing.

HUD 202 funding

In 2010 SHA selected a development partner through a Request for Qualifications process for a HUD Section 202 or 811-funded project. The selected development entity is currently evaluating up to four SHA-owned sites for their potential to be developed into transit-oriented senior housing projects consisting of approximately 50 units within the next three years. Applications to HUD for funding will be submitted for the selected site(s) in the upcoming funding rounds.

Strategic Direction #5 - Partner with others to create healthy, welcoming, and supportive living environments in Seattle Housing Authority communities.

Since the HUD-funded Drug Elimination Grant was eliminated several years ago and HUD has

implemented a lottery system to award Resident Opportunities for Self-Sufficiency grants (as opposed to a competitive system), SHA has struggled to support the level of services needed for healthy and safe communities. Extremely low-income families need a range and depth of services that are not always readily available in the broader community. Regardless of SHA's attempts to leverage resources, subsidized housing residents are not necessarily the priority of service agencies or local government. The lack of dedicated funding, even when there are additional funds added to operating funding from HUD, forces SHA to pit service needs against property capital and maintenance needs.

Community gardens

In 2011 SHA will continue its partnership with the City of Seattle to support opportunities for growing food and hosting farmers markets. Through the partnership, the City's P-Patch program will provide community gardening opportunities in the SHA communities of High Point Yesler Terrace, Rainier Vista, and NewHolly. The gardens provide residents opportunities to grow organic produce for themselves and their families, and develop relationships with neighbors of diverse nationalities, which in turn builds the community. The program includes community gardens, market gardens, and youth gardens and is expected to serve over 400 individuals annually.

SHA will also continue partnering with a local non-profit and the City to create a Seattle Community Farm, located in Rainier Vista. The farm was funded by the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Community Food Project grant with a goal of creating a community urban farm for agricultural production, education, and market opportunities for low income residents. The farm will be used for garden education programming and to show residents how to

grow food organically. The Farm will be part of a larger effort to create a model food system that better addresses the needs of low-income residents.

Community building

SHA employs community building to increase resident self-sufficiency and connection to the greater Seattle community and to sustain quality of life in SHA housing. SHA's six Community Builders promote collaborative relationships among service providers and neighbors who work together around common interests.

In 2011 Community Builders will continue to build on partnerships with community members, neighborhood organizations and service providers to promote engagement of individuals in their communities across economic, ethnic, and age lines. Strategies include:

- using translation and interpretation services to enable greater resident leadership participation for people whose primary language is other than English;
- supporting renters and home owners working on a variety of community-based events and developing committees to further neighborhood goals;
- supporting the formation of additional Duly-Elected Resident Councils (approximately 20 of the 29 public housing communities have such councils) and providing technical assistance to the existing Councils;
- involving resident leaders in the Joint Policy Advisory Committee, decision-making regarding Resident Participation Funds, and in developing leadership and training opportunities;
- engaging more residents, especially public housing residents from high-rise buildings

and scattered sites, in Seattle District Council meetings and community events; and

- support the resident-driven Emergency Preparedness Team to continue to help prepare residents for a variety of emergencies.

Community-specific activities

- Highlights of some of the community-specific activities planned for 2011 in support of promoting healthy, welcoming communities are:
- supporting Yesler Terrace residents in their involvement with the planning process for the redevelopment of the Yesler Terrace redevelopment;
- facilitating NewHolly community members' vote on how to use their community building resources and making mini-grants to one another; and
- providing technical assistance to the High Point Community Leaders program which is an affinity group made of homeowners and renters who are seeking to address community issues that cut across income lines.

Positive activities for youth

- In 2011 SHA will continue to partner with non-profit organizations to increase services for youth. Services will include tutoring, arts, leadership activities, healthy eating, gardening, and physical activities. Due to the current economic crisis, non-profit partners continue to need more funding from SHA in order to be able to provide these services to the youth. SHA's anticipated direct investment in youth services for 2011 will be approximately \$720,000.

Safety and security in SHA's family communities

- SHA will continue its commitments in the 2011 budget to be proactive, in partnership with residents, homeowners, schools, community organizations, the police, and other city agencies to ensure that our communities are safe and are perceived to be safe.
- The community building strategies outlined previously in this section will continue to build neighbor-to-neighbor ties that create a stronger community that is more resistant to criminal activity. Safety and security committees in several communities work to develop local solutions to community concerns. Increases in both the presence of private security and Seattle Police Department Community Police Team officers have already been implemented.
- SHA is also continuing to pay close attention to the role of youth in perceptions of, and actual, safety concerns in the communities. Additional youth services will continue at High Point and NewHolly, including the increase of summer recreational activities with the Seattle Parks Department and our summer youth employment program.

Smoke-free housing

In 2011 Seattle Housing Authority will develop a set of policies to guide the agency's direction toward smoke-free housing for all rental housing units. Policies will be developed using stakeholder and resident involvement. Implementation of the SHA Board-approved plan will begin in 2011 and may reach approximately 3,500 units by year end. Implementation will include resident outreach and education, facilitated access to tobacco cessation options.

This work will be supported in part by a Communities Putting Prevention to Work Tobacco Prevention grant from Public Health of Seattle and King County. The grant is made possible by ARRA funding.

Management Strategy #1 - Manage the Seattle Housing Authority as effectively as possible to meet the agency's mission.

Manage the Housing Authority's assets and operations to maximize the value and longevity of real estate and rental housing, and ensure that operations are cost effective.

Ongoing efficiency efforts

In 2011 SHA will engage in a number of activities to further efforts to maximize the effectiveness of our properties and operations. This will include working to:

- convert the myriad of property management software programs currently in use to a single system;
- evaluate the performance of all developments and programs against original operational and financial plans; adjust business plans and practices to maintain operational and financial integrity throughout the agency;
- conduct an ongoing operations improvement program by continually evaluating the efficiency and cost-effectiveness of administrative and service-delivery functions and implementing changes to streamline operations and improve service;
- actively identify and implement ways to improve customer service for our program applicants and participants;

- use MTW flexibility wherever possible to reduce administrative burden and operating costs. In particular, maximize flexibility in order to simplify and streamline rent calculations across housing programs; and
- actively identify and implement ways to simplify and streamline administrative processes.

Agency assessment system

In 2011 SHA plans to move forward with obtaining HUD approval of an alternate system for measuring housing authority performance. HUD's Public Housing Assessment System (PHAS) and other evaluation systems are not adequate to measure the performance of MTW agencies. In particular:

- scoring does not take into consideration planned risks associated with experimentation;
- project-level focus ignores funding fungibility, local goals, and local conditions;
- limited time frame assessed does not consider multi-year trends; and
- program-specific focus of HUD assessments do not account for the entirety of the work of the housing authority.

In 2010 SHA began working with other MTW agencies to explore alternatives. In 2011 SHA hopes to submit an alternative for HUD-approval that meets that needs of HUD, MTW agencies, and local communities.

Strengthen the agency's financial position and its ability to respond to shifting economic conditions.

In 2011 SHA will make a number of concrete steps toward the goal of strengthening the agency's financial position.

- Make the 2011 payment of \$8.45 million against Seattle Housing Authority's

Infrastructure Note from for-sale proceeds at High Point and Rainier Vista;

- Develop a contingency plan to backstop at least a portion of the Infrastructure loan fixed annual principal repayment requirements;
- Develop and implement a proposal for paying down the current combined balance of \$16 million on the Operating, Real Estate, and Taxable lines of credit (LOC) over the period 2011-2015 from disposition of properties, permanent financing of properties currently on an LOC, or paydowns from SHA reserves;
- Reduce Seattle Housing Authority's reliance on short-term debt by paying down \$3-5 million of outstanding balances on lines of credit in 2011;
- Negotiate extensions of current credit instruments or restructuring of SHA borrowings for SHA's three lines of credit – Operating, Real Estate, and Taxable.
- Maintain/build Seattle Housing Authority's Operating Reserve to at least one month's total operating and average debt service expenditures;
- Implement required actions to ensure that all properties, where applicable, are meeting debt-service coverage ratios (DCRs); where there are chronic problems with meeting DCRs, work with Asset Management and Housing Operations departments to conduct a thorough review of the property and develop a corrective action plan;
- Address the treatment of capital reserves in Seattle Housing Authority's financial statements and cash reserve balances;
- Make recommendations to the agency's Financial Policy Oversight Committee to implement key recommendations of the financial risk assessment prepared by our

financial advisory services consultants, including in particular, measures for the future to build a Development Reserve;

- Select a banking services provider for a five year term;
- Continue to improve the agency's Finance and Administration Department's ability to forecast cash flow needs and undesignated unrestricted reserves; and
- Continue preparing a Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) that is confirmed by the independent auditor to be a reliable statement of SHA's financial condition and that is recognized as an excellent example of comprehensive financial reporting by the Government Finance Officers Association.

Management Strategy #2 - Identify and implement sustainable practices throughout the agency to minimize impact on the environment.

SHA has long history of working toward minimizing its impact on the environment, ranging from the natural drainage system at High Point to partnering with Seattle City Light to distribute compact fluorescent light bulbs to residents. In 2011 SHA will continue to promote and include green building practices in both new construction and rehabilitation projects. SHA will also incorporate sustainability into daily management and maintenance practices in housing portfolios and administrative and maintenance facilities. In addition to the construction and rehab projects described above under *Strategic Direction #1*, additional key projects planned for 2011 are highlighted below.

Recycling and organic waste

The agency's solid waste operation works closely with Seattle Public Utilities to plan and implement waste reduction, diversion and recycling goals and objectives. In 2011 SHA will continue and expand recycling and organic (food and yard waste) activities. In 2010 SHA created a Recycle Support Assistant position. This position monitors and analyzes recycling effectiveness, suggests improvement strategies and provides resources and training for tenants and managers. In late 2010 SHA's recycling program will add organics collection to SHA's largest administration buildings and pilot organics at Phinney Terrace. In 2011 organics collection will be expanded to other apartment buildings.

Energy efficiency upgrades

SHA will continue to partner with the City of Seattle to update a number of features in existing units to reduce resource consumption. The City is contributing ARRA funds and SHA is providing the labor through June 2011. As a result of this partnership, 50 units per month will receive:

- new, more efficient lights in the bath and kitchen;
- new energy efficient fan in the bathroom;
- replacement of the building's exterior lighting with LED where possible or energy saving fluorescent; and
- new thermostats in the non-SSHP buildings (as they already have them).

Metering

SHA will continue to look for resources to be able to individually meter more units so that tenants can be directly responsible for their utility bills.

Management Strategy #3 - Promote a healthy, engaged, and productive workforce.

In 2011 Seattle Housing Authority will continue to improve efforts to promote a healthy, engaged, and productive workforce. Specific activities will include:

- Classification and Compensation Survey – Review the current classification system as it has not been reviewed in a number of years.
- Employee Survey – Perform an employee survey to understand whether employees' attitudes and opinions about the workplace have changed.
- Software Training – Continue and expand the availability of software training programs for staff at all levels.
- Supervisor Training – Offer a variety of training programs to support the development of supervisory and leadership skills for supervisors and managers.
- Other Training – Providing training in managing stress and dealing with challenging interpersonal relationships.

IV. Long-term MTW Plan

This section describes the Agency's long-term vision for the direction of its MTW program.

Strategic planning

Late in 2009 and in the first half of 2010, Seattle Housing Authority undertook a strategic planning process to set the agency's direction for the next five years. The process engaged staff, residents, key stakeholders and other community members in considering the key questions facing the Housing Authority and exploring solutions that allow the agency to meet its mission in the best and most efficient ways possible.

The result of this effort is the draft 2011-2015 strategic plan entitled "Bold Plans in the Face of Uncertainty." The plan re-affirms the agency's core commitments. It also calls for new areas of focus, with an emphasis on expanding access to education and economic opportunity.

The public comment period for the draft strategic plan is August 3 through September 9.

MTW and SHA's Strategic Plan

Moving to Work is a tool to achieve local and federal goals. The housing authority intends to maximize the potential provided by MTW to achieve its strategic directions, and to closely integrate the flexibility offered by this status into overall planning efforts.

Moving to Work has three statutory objectives:

- **Cost-effectiveness:** Reduce costs and achieve greater cost effectiveness in Federal expenditures;
- **Self-sufficiency:** Give incentives to families with children where the head of household is working, is seeking work, or is preparing for

work by participating in job training, educational programs, or programs that assist people to obtain employment and become economically self-sufficient; and

- **Housing choice:** Increase housing choices for low-income families.

These statutory objectives are integrated throughout SHA's Strategic Plan. Below are SHA's draft strategic directions and management strategies. The MTW objectives they align with are indicated in parentheses.

Strategic Directions

1. Expand housing opportunities for low-income residents across Seattle by maintaining and expanding the supply of low-income housing. (MTW objective: Housing choice.)
2. Expand housing access and choice for low-income residents across Seattle. (MTW objective: Housing choice.)
3. Assist housing participants in gaining access to education and employment opportunities to they can improve their lives. (MTW objective: Self-sufficiency.)
4. Provide additional services and increase the stock of housing for low-income seniors. (MTW objective: Housing choice.)
5. Partner with others to create healthy, welcoming and supportive living environments in Seattle Housing Authority Communities. (MTW objectives: Cost-effectiveness, self-sufficiency, and housing choice.)

Management Strategies

1. Manage the Seattle Housing Authority as effectively as possible to meet the agency's mission. (MTW objectives: Cost-effectiveness.)
 2. Identify and implement sustainable practices throughout the agency to minimize the
- impact on the environment. (MTW objectives: Cost-effectiveness and housing choice.)
3. Promote a healthy, engaged and productive workforce.

V. Proposed MTW Activities: HUD approval requested

This section provides HUD-required information detailing proposed uses of MTW authority, including evaluation criteria and specific waivers to be used.

For 2011 SHA is requesting HUD approval of MTW flexibility for two activities, described below. In addition, SHA will begin to explore

options for a unified rent policy for both public housing and housing choice voucher participants.

Proposed rent policy activities

Housing Choice Vouchers

MTW Activity #10.H.14	Simplified utility allowance schedule: The HCV utility allowance schedule will be simplified to the following three factors: single family unit/not; tenant pays no utilities/tenant pays water, sewer or garbage/tenant does not pay water, sewer or garbage; voucher size.		
Targeted MTW statutory objective	Cost-effectiveness: Through the creation of a simplified method, it will be quicker for staff to explain rent calculations to participants, particularly at issuance. Data entry will also be simplified and data integrity improved. Households will be encouraged to choose units with lower utility costs.		
Schedule	This activity is first proposed in SHA's 2011 MTW Annual Plan. Implemented may begin in 2011, pending SHA's decisions about overall rent reform.		
Outcome Measures	Metric	Baseline	Benchmark
	Staff time savings	0 savings - estimated average time spent explaining utility allowances at initial issuance appointment and submission of RFTA/rent negotiation is 30 minutes per voucher.	1,250 hours [estimated 2,500 vouchers issued in 2011 times savings of 30 minutes each]
	Hardship requests resolved.	0	20 - SHA estimates that in the first year of implementation, 20 households will meet the hardship criteria and request hardship relief.
	HAP impact	0	\$0 - this activity is excepted to be revenue neutral.
Data sources	SHA maintains details about unit and utility types for all units with voucher subsidy. Staff time savings based on 2009 time studies and staff estimates.		
Authorizations Cited	MTW Agreement: Attachment C (D)(2)(a). Specific waivers include: 24 CFR 982.517.		
Hardship Policy	Households qualify for a Hardship Waiver if they provide six months of utility bills that, combined, exceed the designated utility allowance by 50 percent and are not leasing a larger unit than their Voucher size. Upon completion of an educational meeting with staff regarding energy conservation, qualifying households will be given the average of their actual utility costs for the following 6 months. At that time it is expected that the family will either have reduced their utility consumption or elected to move to a more energy efficient unit.		

Public Housing

MTW Activity #10.P.17	SSHP rent policy: Rents in SSHP units converted to public housing shall be one of four flat rents based on the tenant's percentage of area median. Income (under 20%, under 30%, under 40%, or over 40%).		
Targeted MTW statutory objective	Cost-effectiveness: Rents are determined only at move in given that incomes change very little for the target population of these units. Housing Choice: SSHP residents will be able to remain in their housing of choice without significant change in their experience.		
Schedule	SHA has been implementing a tiered rent policy since 2003. The units have been wholly locally funded since the program's inception. If SHA brings some or all of the units in SSHP into public housing, as outlined in Section II, MTW authority will be needed to continue this type of rent policy.		
Outcome Measures	Metric	Baseline	Benchmark
	Avoided rent reviews annually	0 - without MTW authority, all SSHP units in public housing would have to complete annual rent reviews.	Up to 965 (depending on # of units brought into public housing)
	Percentage of SSHP residents at the time of conversion to public housing whose rent remains stable in spite of conversion to public housing	0%	100%
Data sources	SHA's property management software maintains information about tenant incomes at move in and tenant rents.		
Authorizations Cited	MTW Agreement: Attachment C (C)(11).		
Hardship Policy	Residents who can not afford the minimum rent are offered program-based housing choice vouchers (currently there is no waiting list). Applicants are referred to Ballard House or Westwood Heights.		

Planning for a unified rent policy

In late 2010 SHA will begin to explore options for creating a unified rent policy for both public housing and housing choice voucher participants. Housing participant and stakeholder input will be sought and incorporated into the agency's decision making. Depending on the policy development timeline, SHA may seek an amendment to the 2011 MTW Annual Plan to enable implementation prior to 2012. The following is a summary of some of the key reasons SHA will pursue this effort.

Why should we change Seattle Housing Authority rent policies?

Seattle Housing Authority serves more than 11,000 households in its federally-subsidized

housing programs. Currently, we operate five sets of policies and procedures for determining how much money families in these housing programs should pay for their rent and utilities. This condition exists in spite of the fact that these programs are designed to serve generally the same populations.

There is too much disparity across programs

Currently three otherwise identical households in similar housing could pay different amounts for rent and utilities and have different access to asset building services such as escrow accounts, depending on which rent policy they fall under.

Current policies are difficult to understand

In 2008 and 2009 surveys, 18 and 31 percent of housing participants surveyed (all housing programs and public housing only, respectively) reported that they do not understand how SHA calculates their rent.

Current policies are costly to implement

We operate at least five separate rent policies for public housing and housing choice voucher participants. Managing these requirements in addition to other regulatory requirements is expensive due to the need to keep staff adequately trained and maintain technological systems to support the various policies. Many policy aspects are cumbersome for both staff and participants and yield little difference in rent amounts.

Current policies create a disincentive to resident employment

Nearly 30 percent of public housing residents surveyed in 2008 believed that they would stay

unemployed or work fewer hours to avoid an increase in their rent.

There is no clear evidence that current policies incentivize work

Public housing residents in 2004 and 2008 surveys consistently reported that factors such as their own desire for higher pay and better benefits, their fear of getting laid off, and other life circumstances were more important in their employment choices than SHA's rent policy or lease requirements.

Current policies are intrusive and intensive

The current rent policies, with the exception of those specifically for participants on fixed incomes, require a great deal of invasion into participants' personal lives. For households with unstable or no income, this is intensive.

VI. Ongoing MTW Activities: HUD approval previously granted

This section provides HUD-required information detailing previously HUD-approved uses of MTW authority, including evaluation criteria and specific waivers to be used.

Background

SHA has made an effort to include all previously approved MTW activities. Any exclusions are unintentional and should be considered continuously approved. If additional previously approved activities are discovered, SHA will add them to subsequent plans or reports.

MTW initiatives

MTW initiatives are overarching areas of reform that SHA is pursuing, such as rent reform or the local project-based HCV program. SHA had obtained approval from HUD for most of these initiatives through Annual Plans and other means prior to execution the Amended and Restated MTW Agreement. During that time, MTW agencies were not required to specify policy elements or waivers being used to implement the initiative. For the purpose of evaluating the impact and success of these initiatives, SHA has made an effort to break down the specific elements of the initiative into “activities.” Activities have been renumbered from the numbers provided in SHA’s 2010 MTW Plan to better relate them to their original initiative and to one another as appropriate. The numbers used in the 2010 report are listed below as “formerly known as” for reference.

It should be noted that throughout the first ten years in MTWHUD evaluation of specific MTW activities. In many cases, SHA has been implementing MTW activities so long that there baseline data is not available. In other cases, it is challenging at best to estimate avoided costs or avoided results. SHA continues to gather

information and refine metrics in order to provide the most meaningful information possible to evaluate the each MTW activity.

SHA has developed 17 MTW Initiatives:

1. Development Simplification
2. Family Self-Sufficiency Program
3. Inspection Protocol
4. Investment Policies
5. Local Leases
6. MTW Block Grant and Fungibility
7. Procurement
8. Special Purpose Housing
9. Project-based Program
10. Rent Policy Reform
11. Resource Conservation
12. Waiting Lists, Preferences, and Admission
13. Homeownership
14. Related Non-Profits
15. Combined Program Management
16. Local Asset Management Program
17. Performance Standards

Activity Status

The planned implementation status is provided for each activity. Activities can be “Inactive” for

a variety of reasons. These reasons include changes in HUD regulations or market conditions that make the activity unnecessary at this time and SHA staff efforts to withhold

implementation until such time as the agency has the capacity to effectively implement the activity.

MTW Initiative #1 - Development Simplification

Public Housing

<p>MTW Activity #1.P.01 (Formerly known as #81)</p>	<p>Design guidelines: SHA may establish reasonable, modest design guidelines, unit size guidelines and unit amenity guidelines for development and redevelopment activities.</p>
<p>Status: Inactive</p>	<p>This activity was first included in SHA's 1999 MTW Agreement. SHA has not yet needed to exercise this MTW flexibility, as needs to date have been met through HOPE VI policies.</p>
<p>MTW Activity #1.P.02 (Formerly known as #70)</p>	<p>Streamlined public housing acquisitions: Acquire properties for public housing without prior HUD approval, provided that HUD site selection criteria are met.</p>
<p>Status: Active</p>	<p>This activity was first included in SHA's 1999 MTW Agreement. SHA began implementing this MTW flexibility in 2004 with the establishment of its Real Property Acquisition Protocol. Additional public housing units may be acquired in 2011 using this protocol, including buildings in the SSHP portfolio.</p>
<p>MTW Activity #1.P.03 (Formerly known as #94)</p>	<p>Total Development Cost limits: Replace HUD's Total Development Cost limits with reasonable limits that reflect the local market place for quality construction.</p>
<p>Status: Inactive</p>	<p>This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 1999 MTW Annual Plan. SHA has not yet needed to implement this MTW flexibility.</p>
<p>MTW Activity #1.P.04 (Formerly known as #73)</p>	<p>Streamlined mixed-finance closings: Utilize a streamlined process for mixed-finance closings.</p>
<p>Status: Inactive</p>	<p>This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2000 MTW Annual Plan. SHA anticipates using HUD's new Streamlined Application Process in Public/Private Partnerships for the Mixed-Finance Development of Public Housing Units. Until such time as HUD publishes final regulations, SHA will continue to use the expedited mixed-finance closing process used in its closings that took place between 2005 and 2007. However, it is not believed that MTW authority is necessary for HUD to continue to offer this streamlined process.</p>
<p>MTW Activity #1.P.05 (Formerly known as #72)</p>	<p>Streamlined public housing demo/dispo process: Utilize a streamlined demolition/disposition protocol negotiated with the Special Applications Center for various public housing dispositions (including those for vacant land at HOPE VI sites and scattered sites property sales).</p>

Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2000 MTW Annual Plan. Implementation began in 2004 with the establishment of the streamlined demo/dispo protocol agreed to between SHA and HUD's Special Acquisitions Center (SAC). SHA plans to continue to utilize the streamlined disposition process for scattered sites and vacant land at HOPE VI sites in 2011.
---------------------------------	---

MTW Initiative #2 - Family Self-Sufficiency Program

MTW Activity #2.A.01 (Formerly known as #87)	FSS: Partner with City: Partner with the City of Seattle to share responsibilities and resources for a new integrated FSS program.
Status: Inactive	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 1999 MTW Annual Plan. SHA has not yet chosen to implement this MTW flexibility.
MTW Activity #2.A.02 (Formerly known as #92)	SJI preference + time limits: Preference for Seattle Jobs Initiative participants coupled with time limits.
Status: Inactive	This activity was first included in SHA's 1999 HUD-approved MTW Annual Plan. SHA has not yet implemented this MTW flexibility.
MTW Activity #2.A.03 (Formerly known as #19)	FSS escrow accounts: Use local policies for determining escrow calculation, deposits, and withdrawals.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2007 MTW Annual Plan. Implementation of aspects related to escrow calculation are Inactive, pending hopeful revisions to the language in the public housing and housing choice voucher FSS NOFAs. The NOFAs currently prescribe the escrow deposit calculation. MTW flexibility cannot be applied to NOFAs. Other elements are anticipated to be implemented in 2011.
MTW Activity #2.A.04 (Formerly known as #20)	FSS participation contract: Locally designed contract terms including length, extensions, interim goals, and graduation requirements.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2007 Annual Plan. Implementation of aspects related to contract length are Inactive, pending hopeful revisions to the language in the public housing and housing choice voucher FSS NOFAs. The NOFAs currently prescribe a five year contract period with one two-year renewal. MTW flexibility cannot be applied to NOFAs. Other elements are anticipated to be implemented in 2011.

MTW Activity #2.A.05 (Formerly known as #23)	FSS Program Coordinating Committee: Restructure Program Coordinating Committee (PCC) to better align with program goals and local resources.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2007 MTW Annual Plan. Implementation is planned for 2011.
MTW Activity #2.A.06 (Formerly known as #21)	FSS program incentives: Provide incentives to FSS participants who do not receive escrow deposits.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2007 MTW Annual Plan. Implementation is planned for 2011.
MTW Activity #2.A.07 (Formerly known as #22)	FSS selection preferences: Up to 100% of FSS enrollments may be selected by local preferences.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2007 Annual Plan. Due to reductions in HUD funding for FSS staff, the program will not be enrolling new participants until capacity is created through attrition of current participants or additional funding. Implementation will take place when new enrollments are made.

MTW Initiative #3 - Inspection Protocol

Housing Choice Vouchers

MTW Activity #3.H.01 (Formerly known as #27)	Inspect SHA-owned properties: Allows SHA staff, rather than a third party entity, to complete HQS inspection of SHA owned properties.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2000 MTW Annual Plan. Implementation began in 2001.
MTW Activity #3.H.02 (Formerly known as #26)	Fines for no-shows at inspections: Impose fines on the landlord or participant for failing to be present at scheduled inspections.
Status: Inactive	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2005 MTW Annual Plan. SHA has not exercised this MTW flexibility. It appears that bundling of inspections has reduced no-shows at inspections sufficiently that this activity is no longer needed. However, SHA will continue to monitor no-shows and may implement fines at a later date.

MTW Activity #3.H.03 (Formerly known as #25h2)	Cost-benefit approach-reduced frequency of inspections: Cost-benefit approach to housing inspections allows SHA to establish local inspection protocol. Current protocol, established in 2010, allows for inspections every other year for residents who have not moved.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's approved 2009 MTW Annual Plan. SHA may begin implementation during 2011.
MTW Activity #3.H.04 (Formerly known as #HI-2010-05)	Self-certification for minor fails: Self-certification by landlords of correction of minor failed inspection items.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2010 MTW Annual Plan. Implementation began in 2010.

Public Housing

MTW Activity #3.P.01 (Formerly known as #25p)	Cost-benefit approach-reduced frequency of inspections: Cost-benefit approach to housing inspections allows SHA to establish local inspection protocol. Current protocol, established in 2003, allows for inspections every other year for residents who have not moved.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 1999 MTW Annual Plan. SHA began implementing a local inspection protocol in 2003 in its high-rise properties. The number of eligible units has declined considerably as SHA has had to obtain tax-credit financing in more than 55 percent of its public housing units. In 2011 SHA may increase the frequency of inspections in some units/properties.

MTW Initiative #4 - Investment Policies

MTW Activity #4.A.01 (Formerly known as #83)	Investment policies: SHA may replace HUD investment policies with Washington State investment policies.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's 1999 MTW Annual Plan. Implementation began in 1999 with the adoption of policies allowing for this additional investment option. None of SHA's current investments utilize this MTW flexibility, however, that may change as SHA reevaluates the performance of its investments regularly.

MTW Initiative #5 - Local Leases

MTW Activity #5.A.01 (Formerly known as #68)	Self-sufficiency requirement: All households receiving subsidy from SHA (public housing or voucher) in HOPE VI communities must participate in self-sufficiency activities.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 1999 and 2001 MTW Annual Plans. Implementation began in 1999 and has continued with each new phase brought on line in SHA's HOPE VI mixed-income communities.

Public Housing

MTW Activity #5.P.01 (Formerly known as #84)	Local lease: SHA may implement its own lease, incorporating industry best practices.
Status: Inactive	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2001 MTW Annual Plan. To date, SHA's local lease changes have not required MTW flexibility, with the exception of that outlined in Activity #5.A.01. SHA may exercise this in the future.
MTW Activity #5.P.02 (Formerly known as #24)	Grievance procedures: Modify grievance policies to require tenants to remedy lease violations and be up to date in their rent payments before granting a grievance hearing for proposed tenancy terminations.
Status: Inactive	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2008 MTW Annual Plan. SHA has not exercised this MTW flexibility.
MTW Activity #5.P.03 (Formerly known as #28)	Lease term for public housing units with Tax Credit overlay: Allow leases of less than one year.
Status: Inactive	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2009 MTW Annual Plan. However, SHA has not yet implemented this activity.

MTW Initiative #6 - MTW Block Grant & Fungibility

MTW Activity #6.A.01 (Formerly known as #14, 31, 96)	MTW Block Grant: SHA combines all eligible funding sources into a single MTW Block Grant used to support eligible activities.
Status: Active	SHA began utilizing MTW Block Grant fungibility with the commencement of MTW participation in 1999. Metrics are not required by HUD for this activity in and of itself.

MTW Activity #6.A.02 (Formerly known as #86)	Obligation and expenditure timelines: SHA may establish timelines for the obligation and expenditure of MTW funds.
Status: No longer allowable	HUD no longer allows implementation of this activity.
MTW Activity #6.A.03 (Formerly known as #32)	Operating reserve: Maintain an operating reserve consistent with sound management practices.
Status: Active	SHA began implementing this activity with the commencement of MTW participation in 1999. Metrics are not required by HUD for this activity in and of itself.

Housing Choice Vouchers

MTW Activity #6.H.01 (Formerly known as #78)	Utilization goals: Utilization defined by use of budget authority.
Status: Inactive	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2003 MTW Annual Plan. In recent years Congress has clarified that housing authorities can lease more than 100% of allocated vouchers, making use of this activity unnecessary for SHA at this time.

MTW Initiative #7 - Procurement

MTW Activity #7.A.01 (Formerly known as #80)	Construction contract: Locally-designed form of construction contract that retains HUD requirements while providing more protection for SHA.
Status: No longer allowable	This activity was first included in SHA's 1999 and 2005 HUD-approved MTW Annual Plans. However, since that time HUD has taken the position that this is not an allowable MTW activity.
MTW Activity #7.A.02 (Formerly known as #88)	Procurement policies: Adopt alternative procurement system that is competitive, and results in SHA paying reasonable prices to qualified contractors.
Status: No longer allowable	This activity was first included in SHA's 1999 HUD-approved MTW Annual Plans. However, since that time HUD has taken the position that this is not an allowable MTW activity.

MTW Activity #7.A.03 (Formerly known as #95)	Wage rate monitoring: Simplified process for monitoring the payment of prevailing wages by contractors.
Status: No longer allowable	This activity was first included in SHA's 1999 HUD-approved MTW Annual Plans. However, since that time HUD has taken the position that this is not an allowable MTW activity.

MTW Initiative #8 - Special Purpose Housing

MTW Activity #8.A.01 (Formerly known as #79)	Conditional housing: Housing program for those who do not currently quite meet SHA's minimum LIPH qualifications
Status: Inactive	This activity was first called out in SHA's HUD-approved 2000 MTW Annual Plan, although implementation began prior to MTW participations. The intent of this activity is current being met through MTW Activity #8.P.01 and SHA's local project-based program.
MTW Activity #8.A.02 (Formerly known as #7)	Program-specific waiting lists: Operate separate waiting lists for specific programs such as service enriched units.
Status: Inactive	This activity was first called out in SHA's HUD-approved 2000 MTW Annual Plan, although implementation began prior to MTW participations. MTW Activity #8.P.01 Agency Units for Housing and the several activities related to the Project-based Program cover SHA's current use of this flexibility.
MTW Activity #8.A.03 (Formerly known as #69)	Service enriched housing: With the help of key partners, SHA may develop supportive housing communities.
Status: Inactive	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2001 MTW Annual Plan. The intent of this activity is current being met through MTW Activity #8.P.01 and SHA's local project-based program.

Public Housing

MTW Activity #8.P.01 (Formerly known as #12)	Agency units for housing and related supportive services: Make residential units available for service-enriched housing by partner agencies.
--	---

Status: Active	SHA began making public housing units available to agencies for service-enriched housing prior to MTW participation. This activity has been continued under MTW since 1999. SHA expects to add 21 units to the existing 84 units under this activity in 2011.
MTW Activity #8.P.02 (Formerly known as #13)	Agency units for services: Make residential units available as office space for community activities, management use, and partner agencies providing services in and around the community.
Status: Active	SHA began making public housing units available to agencies for services prior to MTW participation. This activity has been continued under MTW since 1999. While this is an activity available to non-MTW agencies, because SHA does not use the standard HUD process to obtain approval, it is considered an MTW activity.
MTW Activity #8.P.03 (Formerly known as #82)	Designate LIPH units for specific purposes/ populations: SHA may designate properties/units for specific purposes such as elderly or smoke-free.
Status: Inactive	This activity was first included in SHA's 2000 and 2001 MTW Annual Plans. SHA may have used an alternative MTW process for obtaining HUD approval, but the policies themselves are available to all PHAs. Therefore, use of this MTW flexibility is not planned for 2011.
MTW Activity #8.P.04 (Formerly known as #16)	Definition of elderly: Change definition of elderly for HUD-designated elderly preference public housing from 62 to 55.
Status: Inactive	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2008 MTW Annual Plan. However, SHA has not yet decided to implement this activity.
MTW Activity #8.P.05 (Formerly known as #35)	Pet-free environments: Establish pet-free environments in connection with selected service enriched housing.
Status: Inactive	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2009 MTW Annual Plan. However, SHA has not yet decided to implement this activity.

MTW Initiative #9 - Project-based Program

Housing Choice Vouchers

MTW Activity #9.H.01 (Formerly known as #25h1)	Cost-benefit inspection approach: Cost-benefit approach to housing inspections allows SHA to establish local inspection protocol. Protocol established in 2004 allows project-based building management to self-certify that HQS is met at the time of move in for mid-year turnover project-based units.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 1999 MTW Annual Plan. Implementation began in 2004.

MTW Activity #9.H.02 (Formerly known as #40)	Assets in rent calculation: Only calculate income on assets declared as valuing \$5,000 or more.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2000 MTW Annual Plan. This activity was implemented in 2005 to be consistent with tax credit processes, as many project-based properties also have tax credit financing. In late 2010 this activity will be replaced by MTW Activity #10.H.12, except for tax credit financed properties that elect to have SHA verify asset income between \$5,000 and \$50,000 due to other funding commitments.
MTW Activity #9.H.03 (Formerly known as #44)	Choice offered at beginning (no exit vouchers): Housing choice is offered at the beginning of the project-based admissions process (by nature of site-specific waiting lists); exit vouchers are not offered.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2000 MTW Annual Plan. Implementation began in 2000.
MTW Activity #9.H.04 (Formerly known as #42)	Contract term: Project-based commitments renewable up to 40 years.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2000 MTW Annual Plan. Implementation began in 2000.
MTW Activity #9.H.05 (Formerly known as #43)	Eligible unit types: Modify the types of housing accepted under a project-based contract - allows shared housing and transitional housing.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2000 MTW Annual Plan. SHA began implementation in 2002.
MTW Activity #9.H.06 (Formerly known as #45)	HAP contracts: Modify the HAP contract to ensure consistency with MTW changes and add tenancy addendum.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2000 MTW Annual Plan. Implementation began in 2000. Because this activity is only implemented to support other MTW activities described elsewhere, evaluation of the contract changes in and of themselves is not necessary.
MTW Activity #9.H.07 (Formerly known as #46)	Non-competitive allocation of assistance: Allocate project-based subsidy non-competitively to SHA controlled units.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2000 MTW Annual Plan. Implementation of this activity began in 2000.

MTW Activity #9.H.08 (Formerly known as #50)	Owners conduct new and turn-over inspections: Allows project-based owners to conduct their own new construction/rehab inspections; allows the management entity to complete unit turnover inspections (rather than SHA); implements inspection sampling at annual review.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2000 MTW Annual Plan. Implementation began in 2005.
MTW Activity #9.H.09 (Formerly known as #37)	Percent of vouchers that may be project-based: Raise the percentage of vouchers that may be project-based above HUD limits.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2000 MTW Annual Plan. SHA established a 25 percent cap in September 2000. Per SHA's HUD-approved 2008 MTW Annual Plan, SHA may raise the cap in future years.
MTW Activity #9.H.10 (Formerly known as #49)	Unit cap per development: Waives the 25% cap on the number of units that can be project-based in a multi-family building without supportive services or elderly/disabled designation.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2000 MTW Annual Plan. Implementation began in 2008.
MTW Activity #9.H.11 (Formerly known as #38)	Rent cap-30% of income: Project-based participants can not pay more than 30% of their adjusted income for rent and utilities.
Status: Inactive	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2000 Annual Plan. Implementation began in 2000. MTW flexibility is not currently required to implement this activity. If HUD policies change in the future, SHA may exercise this flexibility.
MTW Activity #9.H.12 (Formerly known as #39)	Streamlined admissions: Streamline applications process for project-based HCV units.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2000 MTW Annual Plan. This activity was initially implemented in 2000, continuous refinement of the application process for maximum efficiency is ongoing.
MTW Activity #9.H.13 (Formerly known as #41)	Competitive allocation process: Commit vouchers to the City's competitive process for housing funding.
Status: Inactive	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2004 MTW Annual Plan. At that time it was believed that MTW was needed for this activity. SHA's first voucher award to a Levy project was in 2005. MTW is no longer needed for this activity. If HUD rules change in the future, SHA will reactivate this activity.

MTW Activity #9.H.14 (Formerly known as #47)	Payment standards for SHA units: Allows higher than Voucher Payment Standard for SHA-operated project-based units if needed to support the project budget (while still taking into account rent reasonableness).
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2004 MTW Annual Plan. Implementation began in 2004.
MTW Activity #9.H.15 (Formerly known as #48)	Subsidy cap in replacement units: Cap subsidy at levels affordable to households at 30% AMI in project-based HOPE VI replacement units where SHA also contributed capital to write-down the unit's affordability to that level.
Status: Inactive	This activity was first called out in SHA's HUD-approved 2004 MTW Annual Plan. SHA no longer believes MTW flexibility was/is required for this activity.
MTW Activity #9.H.16 (Formerly known as #1)	Admissions-admit felons under certain conditions: Allows for the admission into Project-based Voucher and Mod Rehab units of Class B and Class C felons subject to time-limited sex offender registration requirements who do not, in the opinion of the owner of the subsidized units, constitute a threat to others.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2005 MTW Annual Plan. Implementation began in 2005.
MTW Activity #9.H.17 (Formerly known as #36)	Program-based vouchers: Allocate floating voucher subsidy to a defined group of units or properties.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2007 MTW Annual Plan. Implementation began in 2007 in SHA's Seattle Senior Housing Program.
MTW Activity #9.H.18 (Formerly known as #51)	Provider-based vouchers: Provide vouchers to selected agencies to couple with intensive supportive services. The agency master leases units and subleases to tenants.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2007 MTW Annual Plan and revised in the 2008 MTW Annual Plan. Implementation began in 2007 .
MTW Activity #9.H.19 (Formerly known as #71)	Streamlined admissions and recertifications: SHA may streamline admissions and recertification processes for provider-based and project-based programs.
Status: Inactive	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2009 MTW Annual Plan. This activity is currently under development.

MTW Initiative #10 - Rent Policy Reform

Housing Choice Vouchers

MTW Activity #10.H.01 (Formerly known as #52)	Rent burden-include exempt income: Exempt income included for purposes of determining affordability of a unit in relation to 40% of household income.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2000 MTW Annual Plan. Implementation began in 2005.
MTW Activity #10.H.02 (Formerly known as #61)	Rent cap-use gross income: Rent burden calculated on 30% of Gross Income, up from HUD's standard 30% of Adjusted Income.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2000 MTW Annual Plan. Implementation began in 2005.
MTW Activity #10.H.03 (Formerly known as #54)	Rent Reasonableness at SHA owned units: Allows SHA staff to perform Rent Reasonable determination for SHA owned units.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2000 MTW Annual Plan. Implementation began in 2000.
MTW Activity #10.H.04 (Formerly known as #33)	Payment standard over 120% FMR: If certain market triggers or other guidelines are met, payment standard may exceed 120% of Fair Market Rent (FMR).
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2002 MTW Annual Plan. Since that time, HUD changed the geographic area it uses to determine FMRs effecting Seattle. This change made FMRs more reasonable and SHA has maintained payment standards between 90-100% of FMR, making use of this activity unnecessary at this time. However, the MTW activity remains active in the event that market conditions change.
MTW Activity #10.H.05 (Formerly known as #57)	Absolute minimum rent: The minimum rent for all residents will be established annually by SHA. No rent will be reduced below the minimum rent amount by a utility allowance.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2003 MTW Annual Plan. Implementation may begin in 2011, pending the outcomes of SHA's unified rent policy efforts.
MTW Activity #10.H.06 (Formerly known as #34)	Payment standard-SROs: SHA may use the studio payment standard for SRO units.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2003 MTW Annual Plan. Implementation began in 2003.

MTW Activity #10.H.07 (Formerly known as #67)	Tenant-based self-sufficiency incentives: Rent policies to foster self-sufficiency among employable households, including income disregards proportional to payroll tax; allowances for employment-related expenses; intensive employment services coupled with time limits; locally-defined hardship waivers.
Status: Inactive	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2005 MTW Annual Plan. This activity is under development.
MTW Activity #10.H.08 (Formerly known as #65)	Imputed income from TANF: Impute TANF income if household appears eligible and has not documented ineligibility. TANF not counted toward income if family is sanctioned.
Status: Inactive	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2006 MTW Annual Plan. The implementation of this policy is on hold.
MTW Activity #10.H.09 (Formerly known as #53)	Rent reasonableness streamlining: Allows SHA to streamline rent reasonable determinations.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2006 and 2009 MTW Annual Plans. Implementation is planned for 2010.
MTW Activity #10.H.10 (Formerly known as #58h)	Rent reviews for fixed-income households every three years: Rent reviews conducted for households exclusively on fixed-incomes (SS/SSI/pensions) only every three years.
Status: Active	This policy element was included in SHA's HUD-approved 2009 MTW Annual Plan. Implementation began in 2010.
MTW Activity #10.H.11 (Formerly known as #HR-2010-01)	180-day EOP clock: The 180-day End of Participation "clock" due to income will start when a family's Housing Assistance Payment (HAP) reaches \$50 or less.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2010 MTW Annual Plan. Implementation began in 2010.
MTW Activity #10.H.12 (Formerly known as #HR-2010-02)	Asset income threshold: SHA will increase the threshold for calculating asset income to an amount up to \$50,000.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2010 MTW Annual Plan. Implementation began in 2010.
MTW Activity #10.H.13 (Formerly known as #HR-2010-03)	Streamlined medical deduction: SHA will provide medical deductions based on a standardized schedule.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2010 MTW Annual Plan. Implementation is planned for late 2010/early 2011.

Public Housing

MTW Activity #10.P.01 (Formerly known as #56)	Absolute minimum tenant payment: Tenants pay a minimum rent (\$50 or more) even if rent calculation and/or utility allowance would normally result in a lower rental payment or even reimbursement.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2000 MTW Annual Plan. Implementation began in 2001.
MTW Activity #10.P.02 (Formerly known as #TBD)	Earned Income Disregard: HUD's Earned income Disregard is not offered to public housing residents.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2000 MTW Annual Plan. Implementation began in 2001.
MTW Activity #10.P.03 (Formerly known as #58p)	Every third year rent reviews for fixed-income households: Rent reviews conducted for households exclusively on fixed-incomes (SS/SSI/pensions) only every three years. Rent increases by Social Security Cost of Living Adjustment in intervening years.
Status: Active	SHA included this policy element in its 2001 MTW Annual Plan. The first year of avoided rent reviews was 2004. Eligible units for this activity have declined due to the use of tax credit financing in more than 55% of SHA's public housing units.
MTW Activity #10.P.04 (Formerly known as #90)	Rent freezes: Voluntary rent policy freezes rent in two year intervals.
Status: Inactive	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2000 MTW Annual Plan and implemented shortly thereafter. In 2005 SHA revised it's rent policy and elected to only keep the top rent ceiling, now reflected in MTW Activity #55.
MTW Activity #10.P.05 (Formerly known as #93)	TANF rent calculation: Calculate TANF participant rent on 25% of gross income.
Status: Inactive	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2000 MTW Annual Plan and implemented shortly thereafter. In 2005 SHA revised it's rent policy and elected to stop implementation of this MTW flexibility.
MTW Activity #10.P.06 (Formerly known as #66)	Tenant Trust Accounts: A portion of working public housing residents' income may be deposited in an escrow account for use toward self-sufficiency purposes.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2000 MTW Annual Plan and revised in the 2005 MTW Annual Plan. SHA established the Tenant Trust Account program in 2000 and began implementation in 2001. In 2005, the program was revamped, as outlined in the 2005 Annual Plan. Implementation of the revised program began in 2006 and continues.

MTW Activity #10.P.07 (Formerly known as #55)	Ceiling rent 2 year time limit: When a tenant's calculated rent reaches the ceiling rent for their unit, the rent will not be increased beyond the rent ceiling for 24 months. After that time, the tenant's rent is calculated as 30% of adjusted gross income.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2005 MTW Annual Plan. SHA has been implementing it ever since (where not prohibited by other funding requirements).
MTW Activity #10.P.08 (Formerly known as #59)	Impute income from public benefits: SHA may impute income in rent calculation for tenants declaring no income who appear eligible for, but who have not pursued, benefits from the State's Employment Security or Department of Social and Health Services (such as Unemployment or TANF).
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2005 Annual Plan. Adopted changes were outlined in the 2005 MTW Annual Report and implementation began that year.
MTW Activity #10.P.09 (Formerly known as #60)	Partners develop separate rent policies: Allow partner providers and HOPE VI communities to develop separate rent policies that are in line with program goals and/or to streamline.
Status: Inactive	This activity was first called out in SHA's HUD-approved 2005 MTW Annual Plan. Due to the technological investment required to manage an alternative rent policy, partner providers are still utilizing HUD's standard rent policy. SHA's HOPE VI communities operate aspects of SHA's alternate public housing rent policy.
MTW Activity #10.P.10 (Formerly known as #64)	Studio vs. 1 bedroom: Differentiate rents for studios vs. 1 bedroom units.
Status: Inactive	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2005 MTW Annual Plan. SHA has not yet implemented this policy.
MTW Activity #10.P.11 (Formerly known as #77)	Utility allowance-self-sufficiency and resource conservation: Change utility allowance where metering permits to encourage self-sufficiency and resource conservation.
Status: Inactive	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2005 and 2008 MTW Annual Plans. SHA has not yet utilized this MTW flexibility.
MTW Activity #10.P.12 (Formerly known as #76p)	Utility allowance-schedule: SHA may change utility allowances on a schedule different for current residents and new move-ins.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2008 MTW Annual Plan. Implementation occurred in 2008. Further use has not been necessary since and is not anticipated in 2011.
MTW Activity #10.P.13 (Formerly known as #62)	Streamlined for fixed income: Further streamline rent policy and certification process for fixed income households.

Status: Inactive	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2009 MTW Annual Plan. SHA continues to explore implementation beyond MTW Activity #10.P.03-Triennial Rent Reviews.
MTW Activity #10.P.14 (Formerly known as #63)	Streamlined rent policy for partnership units: Allow non-profit partners operating public housing units to implement simplified rent policies.
Status: Inactive	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2009 MTW Annual Plan. The intent of this activity is currently being met through MTW Activity #10.P.09.
MTW Activity #10.P.15 (Formerly known as #74)	Utility allowance-frequency of utility allowance updates: SHA may revise the schedule for reviewing and updating utility allowances due to fluctuations in utility rates to no more than annually.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2009 MTW Annual Plan. In 2010 SHA began implementing a revised policy for making utility allowance changes in selected mixed-finance communities.
MTW Activity #10.P.16 (Formerly known as #75)	Utility allowance-local benchmark: SHA may develop new benchmarks for "a reasonable use of utilities by an energy conservative household" - the standard by which utility allowance are calculated.
Status: Inactive	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2009 MTW Annual Plan. SHA has not yet utilized this MTW flexibility.

MTW Initiative #11 - Resource Conservation

Public Housing

MTW Activity #11.P.01 (Formerly known as #18)	Energy protocol: Employ a cost-benefit approach for resource conservation in lieu of HUD-required energy audits every five years.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2000 MTW Annual Plan. Implementation began in 2000.

MTW Initiative #12 - Waiting Lists, Preferences, and Admissions

MTW Activity #12.A.01 (Formerly known as #85)	Local preferences: SHA may establish local preferences for federal housing programs.
---	---

Status: Inactive	This activity was first included in SHA's 2002 MTW Annual Plan. SHA may have used an alternative MTW process for obtaining HUD approval, but the policies themselves are available to all PHAs. Therefore, MTW flexibility is not currently being used.
-----------------------------	---

Housing Choice Vouchers

MTW Activity #12.H.01 (Formerly known as #6h)	Partners maintain own waiting lists: Allow partners to maintain waiting lists for partner-owned and/or operated units/vouchers and use own eligibility and suitability criteria.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2000 MTW Annual Plan. Implementation of this activity began in 2000 with the inception of the MTW Project-based Program.
MTW Activity #12.H.02 (Formerly known as #11)	Voucher distribution through service provider agencies: Up to 30% of SHA's tenant-based vouchers may be made available to local nonprofits, transitional housing providers, and divisions of local government that provide direct services for use by their clients without regard to their client's position on SHA's waiting list.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2000 and 2002 MTW Annual Plans. SHA solicited applications and allocated vouchers to agencies in 2002 and 2006. SHA also awarded agency vouchers through a competitive NOFA in support of King County's Ten Year Plan to End Homelessness in 2007 and 2008.
MTW Activity #12.H.03 (Formerly known as #9)	Special issuance vouchers: Establish a "special issuance" category of vouchers to address circumstances where timely issuance of vouchers can prevent homelessness or rent burden.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2003 MTW Annual Plan. Implementation of this activity began in 2003.
MTW Activity #12.H.04 (Formerly known as #8)	Admit applicants owing SHA money: Provide voucher assistance to households owing SHA money from prior tenancy under specific circumstances, for example if they enter into a repayment agreement.
Status: Inactive	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2008 MTW Annual Plan. SHA began implementing this in 2008. However, at this time MTW flexibility is not needed. If HUD policies change to require use of MTW flexibility, this activity will be utilized.
MTW Activity #12.H.05 (Formerly known as #4)	Limit eligibility for applicants in subsidized housing: Implement limits or conditions for tenants living in subsidized housing to participate in the HCV program. For example, before issuing a Public Housing resident a Voucher, they must fulfill the initial term of their public housing lease.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2008 MTW Annual Plan. Implementation will begin when SHA begins pulling applicants off of the tenant-based waiting list in 2010 or 2011.

MTW Activity #12.H.06 (Formerly known as #10)	Streamlined eligibility verification: Streamline eligibility verification standards and processes, including allowing income verifications to be valid for up to 180 days.
Status: Inactive	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2009 MTW Annual Plan. This activity is under development. Implementation is not anticipated in 2011.

Public Housing

MTW Activity #12.P.01 (Formerly known as #91)	Site-based waiting lists: Applicants can choose from several site-specific and/or next available waiting lists.
Status: Inactive	This activity was first included in SHA's 1999 MTW Annual Plan. SHA may have used an alternative MTW process for obtaining HUD approval, but the policy itself is available to all PHAs. Therefore, MTW flexibility is not currently being used.
MTW Activity #12.P.02 (Formerly known as #6p)	Partners maintain own waiting lists: Allow partners to maintain waiting lists for partner-owned and/or operated units (traditional LIPH units; service provider units, etc.) and use own eligibility and suitability criteria.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2000 MTW Annual Plan. Implementation of this MTW activity began in 2000.
MTW Activity #12.P.03 (Formerly known as #3)	Expedited waiting list: Allow applicants referred by selected partners (primarily transitional housing providers) to receive expedited processing and receive the "next available unit."
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2004 MTW Annual Plan. Implementation began in 2004.
MTW Activity #12.P.04 (Formerly known as #5)	No waiting list: Allows for filling units without a waiting list.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2008 MTW Annual Plan. SHA continues to explore implementation options and may pilot this activity in 2011.
MTW Activity #12.P.05 (Formerly known as #2)	Eligibility criteria: Unique eligibility criteria for specific units or properties, such as service enriched units.
Status: Inactive	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2008 MTW Annual Plan. SHA's current needs are being met through implementation of MTW Activity #8.P.01.

MTW Initiative #13 - Homeownership

MTW Activity #13.A.01 (Formerly known as #17)	Down payment assistance: Allocate MTW Block Grant funds to offer a local down payment assistance program.
Status: Active	SHA's Down Payment Assistance Program was established in 2004 and included in both the 2004 and 2005 MTW Annual Plans. The first phase of SHA's Down Payment Assistance (DPA) Program began in 2004 and ended 2006 in conjunction with a ROSS grant. The second phase began 2006 and was completed in 2009. The third phase is expected to begin 2012, with the development of homeownership units at Lake City Village, and end in 2014.

Housing Choice Vouchers

MTW Activity #13.H.01 (Formerly known as #97)	Monthly mortgage assistance: SHA may develop a homeownership program that includes a monthly mortgage subsidy.
Status: Inactive	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2008 MTW Annual Plan. SHA plans to explore implementation options in 2011 and may implement in 2012.

MTW Initiative #14 - Related Non-Profits

MTW Activity #14.A.01 (Formerly known as #89)	Related non-profit contracts: SHA may enter into contracts with any related nonprofit.
Status: Inactive	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD approved 2004 MTW Plan. MTW flexibility has not yet been needed to accomplish related goals.

MTW Initiative #15 - Combined Program Management

MTW Activity #15.A.01 (Formerly known as #15)	Combined program management: Combined program management for project-based vouchers and public housing in communities operating both subsidy types.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA's HUD-approved 2008 MTW Annual Plan. Implementation began in 2008.

MTW Initiative #16 - Local Asset Management Program

MTW Activity #N/A (Formerly known as #29)	Local Asset Management Program: Use asset management principles to optimize housing and services.
Status: Active	This activity was first included in SHA’s HUD-approved 2000 MTW Annual Plan. In its 2010 Annual Plan, SHA submitted a detailed Local Asset Management Program for HUD approval. Metrics are not required by HUD for this activity in and of itself.

MTW Initiative #17 - Performance Standards

MTW Activity #N/A (Formerly known as #30)	Local performance standards in lieu of HUD measures: Develop locally relevant performance standards and benchmarks to evaluate the agency performance in lieu of HUD's Public Housing Assessment System (PHAS).
Status: Under development	This activity was first included in SHA’s HUD-approved 1999 MTW Annual Plan. SHA has utilized alternative performance measurements ever since. In 2009 SHA implemented an alternative satisfaction survey to the RASS and began working with other MTW agencies to explore a HUD-approved alternative to PHAS. Evaluation of this activity in and of itself is not required by HUD.

VII. Sources and Uses of Funding

This section describes SHA’s projected revenues and expenditures for 2011, local asset management program, and reflects use of MTW block grant single fund flexibility.

Sources and uses of MTW funds

The table below summarizes the MTW sources of funds in the revised budget for Calendar Year

(CY) 2010 and projected for the CY 2011 budget.

Table 3: Projected Sources - MTW Funds

	CY 2010 Budget	CY 2011 Budget	Percent Change
Dwelling Rental Income	\$11,086,000	\$11,225,000	1.3%
Investment and Interest Income	323,000	211,000	(34.7%)
Other Income	1,435,000	1,750,000	22.0%
MTW Block Grant ³	119,760,000	120,878,000	0.9%
<i>LIPH Operating Block Grant</i>	<i>19,489,000</i>	<i>19,468,000</i>	<i>(0.1%)</i>
<i>HCV Block Grant</i>	<i>86,723,000</i>	<i>88,460,000</i>	<i>2.0%</i>
<i>Capital Block Grant</i>	<i>13,548,000</i>	<i>12,950,000</i>	<i>(4.4%)</i>
City Capital Grant	--	10,000	--
Total Sources-MTW	\$132,604,000	\$134,074,000	1.1%

³ Transfers made to Limited Partnerships and previously shown as a reduction to block grant funding were moved to the Uses table under the title “Transfer to Local Low Income Housing and Development Activities.” The CY 2010 budget has been revised from the adopted budget to reflect actual HUD funding.

Changes from CY 2010 to CY 2011 budget

Dwelling Rental Income is relatively flat because of the economy’s impact on tenant income and occupancy. A reduction in tenant rental income is projected for Jefferson Terrace with the conversion of one floor to Respite Care. Continued low vacancy rates are expected, which increase rental income. This is projected to be balanced out by rent reductions stemming from reduced tenant employment or stagnant or reduced income support assistance. The estimated net of these changes is a modest 1.3 percent increase in dwelling rents.

Investment and Interest Income is projected to decrease slightly from 2010 due to current

market conditions, continuing low interest rates, and lower balances on bonds and notes.

The increase in **Other Income** is mainly from a new lease for Jefferson Terrace Respite Care program. The lease income here offsets the rental income reduction previously noted. Higher rooftop antenna income, laundry income, and Portability fees also contributed to the increase in other income.

The total **MTW Block Grant** funding amount for 2011 is projected to increase very slightly – less than 1.0 percent – from the 2010 revised budget. A projected 2.0 percent increase in Housing Choice Voucher revenues offsets a decrease (4.4 percent) in expected Capital grant funds and flat funding for the Operating grant.

- The **MTW LIPH Operating Block Grant** revenues are stagnant in 2011 compared to 2010. Flat revenues come at a time when economic circumstances create new needs that require added resources. Many residents who are employed have experienced reductions in hours and wages and others have been laid off as a result of the continuing depressed job market. Budget cuts in the State and local governments have meant severe reductions in critical support services to residents, particularly for those least able to function without supportive services and income. State budget cuts have also meant reductions in income support for those considered least able to provide for themselves. These financial realities mean that tenants are less able to help themselves and supportive services agencies have less capacity to support tenants.

- The **MTW HCV Block Grant** revenues are projected to increase modestly. Given the reductions in LIPH Operating and Capital block grant revenues, the increase in HCV Block Grant funds is crucial to SHA's ability to maintain housing operations and services to tenants and to address urgent capital needs across our housing programs.

Subsequent to SHA's 2011 budget proposal decisions, HUD published 2011 proposed Fair Market Rent (FMR) rate increases for Seattle at 11.4 percent. This increase does not match the experience the past two years of the local housing market, where rental housing has experienced and is projected over the next year to continue to experience

reductions in rent levels. While changes in FMRs have historically been reflected in HUD's Annual Adjustment Factor (per unit funding), SHA questions whether the 2011 estimated appropriation level at an increase of 1.3 percent over 2010 would support an adjustment for this level of FMR increase. Resolution of this issue will ultimately affect SHA's ability to fund a given level of HCV participation and SHA's single fund flexibility.

- Demands on the **MTW Capital Block Grant** (LIPH) continue to outstrip available resources. This is true both for SHA's Low Income Public Housing (LIPH) portfolio and for the senior and local housing programs. The projected decrease of 4.4 percent in this revenue source compounds this issue.
- SHA weighs capital needs across portfolios and pools resources to the maximum extent to address the most critical needs of all properties. Trade-offs between capital and operating needs are determined in the course of the annual operating and capital budget processes. For 2011 this led to increased funding for capital by reducing transfers to Operations and by seeking local funding support through City levy funds and federal resources such as HUD Safety and Security Emergency Capital Needs funds.

Table 4 shows planned expenditures of MTW funds for CY 2010 and CY 2011.

Table 4: Projected Expenses - MTW Funds

	CY 2010 Budget	CY 2011 Budget	Percent Change
Program Operations and Administration	\$23,252,000	\$24,778,000	6.6%
Utilities	5,743,000	6,332,000	10.3%
Housing Assistance Payments	69,198,000	69,233,000	0.1%
Maintenance and Contracts	10,593,000	11,706,000	10.5%
Subtotal Operations	\$108,786,000	\$112,049,000	3.0%
Development and Capital Projects	9,969,000	10,987,000	10.2%
Capital Equipment	450,000	451,000	0.2%
Total Expenses-MTW⁴	\$119,205,000	\$123,487,000	3.6%
Transfers to Local Low Income Housing and Development Activities ⁵	7,415,000	8,236,000	11.1%
Contribution to Reserves ⁶	5,984,000	2,351,000	(60.9%)
Total Expenses and Transfers-MTW	\$132,604,000	\$134,074,000	1.1%

⁴ In order not to double count expenditures in deriving agency-wide expenditures, use the Total Expense- MTW line and add the Total Expense-Other from Table 6: Projected Expenses-Other Programs.

⁵ Transfers are from MTW Block Grant to other local low-income housing programs, limited partnerships, replacement reserves, and development activities.

⁶ Higher contributions to reserves than originally expected for 2010 resulted from higher than budgeted MTW revenues. Actual contributions will depend on final 2010 revenues and expenses. For 2011, reserve contributions are planned for SHA's Operating Reserve, including a contingency for Housing Choice Voucher Fair Market Rent, Voucher Payment Standard, and/or utility allowance adjustments, and for reserve requirements of the public housing High-Rise Limited Partnerships.

Changes from CY 2010 to CY 2011 budget

Program Operations and Administration expenses are proposed to increase 6.6 percent. The largest share of this increase is due to changes in allocation of costs between Other Programs and MTW. Expenses associated with public housing tax credit compliance have moved from developer fee funding to MTW. A portion of expenses of the Housing Operations Director's Office have been allocated to shared direct costs, consistent with SHA's Local Asset Management Plan and OMB Circular A-87.

Utilities expenses in the MTW portfolio show an increase of 10.3 percent due to a combination of utility rate increases, under budgeting of utility rates in 2010, and consumption pattern increases.

- Seattle City Light raised electric rates by 13.8 percent effective January 2010 and then added another 4.5 percent temporary rate stabilization surcharge effective May 2010. The temporary surcharge is expected to continue in 2011 at a rate of 3 percent.
- Other significant utility rate increases above inflation are expected in 2011, including a projected 20 percent increase in sewer rates. A 15 percent decrease in in-house solid waste rates offsets part of the increases in other utilities in 2011.
- The adjustment for SHA's consumption pattern is based on previous years' consumption trends. SHA-paid utilities have increased due to added ventilation and lighting features in residential properties.

- Partially offsetting the rate increases is a reduction of 15 percent in in-house Solid Waste rates.

The slight increase projected in **Housing Assistance Payments** is primarily due to the Voucher Payment Standard (VPS) increase that took effect in 2010 and will be effective for a full year in 2011. The 2010 and 2011 MTW Housing Choice Voucher utilization level remains above 99 percent as a result of project-based vouchers committed to City Levy funding for new low-income housing development. SHA's long term goal of 98 percent utilization – that is 98 percent of authorized vouchers are leased – will likely be realized in 2012.

Maintenance and Contracts expenses are projected to increase by 10.5 percent in 2011 compared to the 2010 budget. The increase is caused primarily by three factors:

- treatment of bedbugs, which has added an ongoing \$293,000 to operating costs;
- a projected increase of up to 10 percent in outside contracts for elevator maintenance; and
- increases in repair and maintenance expenses, primarily related to unit turnover costs and high wear and tear on SHA properties.

The increase in the MTW **Development and Capital Projects** budget reflects a choice to reduce the transfer to Operations from Capital, rather than an actual increase in Capital resources.

- In the 2011 budget SHA continues to fund some of the backlog of minor repair, replacement, and rehabilitation projects and to provide an allowance for each portfolio.
- In addition, the 2011 MTW Capital Budget provides funding for the Yesler Terrace

redevelopment planning efforts; elevator rehabilitation at Jefferson Terrace; accessibility (UFAS) improvements in seven scattered site units; roof replacements in the scattered site portfolio; and annual debt service costs for homeWorks rehabilitation of the high rises.

The **Capital Equipment** budget of \$451,000 includes funding to procure new property management software, implement changes to software that will interface with the new Voyager (Yardi) software; and convert current operations from existing software to the new single property management software support. The new Voyager system implementation will require a concerted effort in 2011 and 2012 from Information Technology, Property Management, Impact Property Services (Maintenance), Finance, and Asset Management staff. Funds are also included in the Capital Equipment budget to replace hardware that is old and operationally unreliable and upgrade existing software packages systems to current releases.

Sources and uses of other funds

SHA operates a number of local housing programs that are not part of the Consolidated MTW Budget, including the Seattle Senior Housing Program, the Local Housing Fund Special Portfolio, Special Purpose Vouchers, and HOPE VI revitalization and community services grants. SHA also operates Impact Property Management (IPM) and Impact Property Services (IPS), which manage and maintain housing for SHA, tax credit properties, and other property owners.

The following table summarizes sources of funds projected for these activities local housing program and development and related activities.

Table 5: Projected Sources – Other Programs

	CY 2010 Budget	CY 2011 Budget	Percent Change
Dwelling Rental Income	\$12,859,000	\$14,696,000	14.3%
Investment and Interest Income	1,428,000	1,409,000	(1.3%)
Other Income	10,042,000	10,246,000	2.0%
Special Purpose Vouchers and Misc. Subsidy	5,936,000	7,549,000	27.2%
Grants	7,299,000	3,791,000	(48.1%)
Capital Sources:			
ARRA Funds Awarded	47,009,000	--	--
Other Capital	3,011,000	6,649,000	120.8%
Other Revenues for HOPE VI Projects	35,240,000	--	--
Prior Year Capital Sources - ARRA	--	10,375,000	--
Prior Year Capital Sources – Mixed-Finances	17,100,000	21,545,000	26.0%
Total Sources-Other Programs	\$139,924,000	\$76,260,000	(45.6%)

Changes from CY 2010 to CY 2011 budget

The 2011 **Dwelling Rental Income** is expected to increase by 14.3 percent which includes dwelling rental income related to the conversion of NewHolly Phase 1 from a limited partnership to an SHA Tax Credit Property; thus, this property is newly included on SHA’s books and not on the books of the limited partnership. The increase in dwelling rental income because of the addition of NewHolly is \$2.01 million. Leaving this change aside, “apples to apples” comparison of overall dwelling rental income for 2011 compared to 2010 shows a slight decrease.

- Senior Housing dwelling rental income is not expected to increase. The Senior Housing rent formula takes account of prior year Social Security cost of living adjustments and changes in the Consumer Price Index. South Park and Keystone are excluded from the 2011 Senior Housing budget because of planned sale of these two properties to non-profits. The combined effect of these factors is a reduction in Senior Housing rental income.

- Rental market conditions are projected to keep dwelling rental income in Special Portfolio down.

The decrease in **Investment and Interest Income** is due primarily to current market conditions with continuing very low investment interest rates. Interest on bonds and notes also decreases due to lower balances.

The 2.0 percent increase in **Other Income** is mainly related to increases in laundry and building antenna incomes.

The subsidy for **Special Purpose Vouchers and Miscellaneous Subsidy** increased due to the award, in the latter part of 2010, of 100 Family Unification Program vouchers and 53 additional Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing vouchers.

Grants represent HOPE VI grant funds and community and supportive services grants. HOPE VI capital grant usage for the redevelopment of Lake City Village is expected to decrease from \$6.5 million in 2010 to \$3.1 million in 2011 as Lake City Village moves toward completion.

Grants for Community and Supportive Services are reduced from a budgeted \$791,000 in 2010 to

a budget of \$691,000 in 2011. However, due to some lost grant funds in 2010, spending in 2010 will actually be a little lower than anticipated for 2011. Use of community and supportive funds from the Lake City Village HOPE VI funds will be underway in 2011. Prior grants from the Seattle Foundation and the Seattle Asset Building Initiative will not be available in 2011.

Capital Sources outside the MTW Capital Block Grant are reflected above to provide a more complete picture of the scope of SHA’s development, rehabilitation, and asset management programs. No new **ARRA Funds Awarded** or **Other Revenues for HOPE VI Projects** are expected in 2011.

Other Capital for 2011 includes reserves for asset preservation projects and equipment/appliance replacement for Seattle Senior Housing, Special Portfolio, limited partnerships, and facilities equipment replacement reserves. In addition, projected

grant funding in the amount of \$4.0 million is included here.

Prior Year Sources – ARRA represents funds awarded in 2009/2010 from the American Recovery and reinvestment Act that are expected to be spent in 2011 on projects in progress during 2011. These include funds awarded for Denny Terrace rehabilitation and energy improvements; Rainier Vista Northeast Rental Housing; Lake City Village; and Jefferson Terrace Respite Care Program.

Prior Year Capital Sources – Mixed Finances represents financing from prior years that provide funding for multi-year projects. For 2011 the figure represents Rainier Vista NE and Lake City Village finances that became available in 2010. The principal reasons for the increase over prior year sources from 2010 is the phase of the redevelopment work at the two active properties compared to completion of several projects in 2010.

Table 6: Projected Expenses – Other Programs

	CY 2010 Budget	CY 2011 Budget	Percent Change
Program Operations and Administration	\$17,319,000	\$17,740,000	2.4%
Special Purpose Vouchers - Housing Assistance Payments	4,881,000	6,429,000	31.7%
Utilities	2,134,000	2,648,000	24.1%
Maintenance and Contracts	7,089,000	7,513,000	6.0%
Subtotal - Operations	\$31,423,000	\$34,330,000	9.3%
Community and Supportive Services Grants	791,000	691,000	(12.6%)
Capital and Non-Routine Projects	11,894,000	8,085,000	(32.0%)
Prior Year ARRA	--	10,375,000	--
HOPE VI Mixed Finance Redevelopments	56,800,000	24,320,000	(57.2%)
Total Expenses-Other	\$100,908,000	\$77,801,000	(22.9%)

Changes from CY 2010 to CY 2011 Budget

Program Operations and Administration expenses in Other Programs shows a net

increase of 2.4 percent from a combination of reductions and increases.

- Reductions come from no longer owning South Park or Keystone; reduction in for-sale property financing expense and

marketing efforts; a shift in some staffing from development budgets to MTW with the completion of redevelopment at High Point and the conclusion of homeWorks.

- Additions include the conversion of NewHolly Phase I from a component unit to an SHA Tax Credit property and construction management activities associated with funding received through ARRA sources.

Special Purpose Vouchers - Housing

Assistance Payments increase in 2011 due to the award of 100 Family Unification Program Vouchers and 53 Additional Veterans' Affairs Supportive Housing Vouchers (VASH). VASH utilization rates should increase in 2011 as the program becomes more established.

Utilities cost increases are due to higher utility rates and consumption patterns, as discussed in the MTW section. The 2011 budget also includes utility expenses for NewHolly which is an addition to SHA as our first property to convert from a limited partnership component unit to an SHA Tax Credit property.

Maintenance and Contracts for Other Programs increased due to increased vacate costs in Senior Housing and Special Portfolio. Bed bug treatment also contributed to the increase in maintenance expenses. Maintenance and contract expenses also include NewHolly as an additional property in 2011.

Community and Supportive Services Grants decreased primarily due to the loss of HUD funding for two Family Self-Sufficiency Coordinator positions and the expiration or reduction of several smaller grants. However, this reduction was partially offset with the award of new grants, plus use of funding from the Lake City Village HOPE VI grant.

Capital and Non-Routine Projects include City grant funds for repairs to building envelopes and replacement of windows at four Senior Housing

buildings. Federal funds are expected to begin installation of carbon monoxide detectors in SHA housing facilities.

Several small asset preservation projects and appliance and equipment replacement expenditures are planned for Senior Housing and Special Portfolio. Also included are appliance replacements and smaller projects for tax credit partnerships.

Prior Year ARRA funds will be spent on four projects in 2011: \$8 million on Denny Terrace rehabilitation and energy efficiency improvements; \$1.5 million at Rainier Vista Northeast Rental Housing; \$500,000 at Lake City Village; and \$375,000 at Jefferson Terrace for the Respite Care Program.

The decrease in **HOPE VI Mixed-Finance Redevelopments** reflects the completion of High Point and Rainier Vista's Tamarack. In 2011 spending is for Rainier Vista Northeast Rental Housing and Lake City Village. Lake City Village is expected to be completed in 2011 and Rainier Vista Northeast will be completed in mid-2012.

Local Asset Management Program

SHA has implemented a local asset management program (LAMP) since the inception of its MTW participation. SHA detailed this LAMP in its HUD-approved 2010 MTW Annual Plan. SHA continues to implement this local asset management program on an ongoing basis. No significant changes have been made to SHA's LAMP, with the exception of updating the Indirect Service Fee (see below) and defining a new local housing program, SHA Tax Credit Properties (to reflect conversion of a tax credit limited partnership component unit to SHA ownership).

SHA has not created a Central Office Cost Center as described in HUD's Asset Management plans. Instead, SHA uses an indirect services fee (IDSF) which complies with

the federal Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-87 requirements, but differs from HUD's prescribed options.

SHA's Indirect Service Fee is more comprehensive than HUD's asset management system. HUD's asset management system and fee for service focuses only on a fee for service at the Low Income Public Housing (LIPH) property level. SHA's LAMP is much broader and includes local housing and other activities not found in traditional HUD programs. SHA's indirect services fee (IDSF) is based on anticipated indirect costs for the fiscal year and is updated as part of the annual budget process. Per the requirements of OMB Circular A-87, the IDSF is determined in a reasonable and consistent manner based on total units and leased vouchers. Thus, the IDSF is calculated as a per-housing-unit or per-leased-voucher fee per month charged to each program.

SHA's Indirect Service Fee is updated as part of the annual budget process. For 2011 the IDSF is \$52.25 per unit month for housing units and \$21.70 per unit month for vouchers. These fees compare to 2010 IDSFs of \$52.10 and \$21.21, respectively.

Single-fund flexibility

SHA established a MTW Block Grant Fund under the original MTW Agreement and continues to use single-fund flexibility under the First Amendment to the Amended and Restated MTW Agreement. SHA flexibility to use MTW Block Grant resources is central to support its array of low-income housing services and programs. SHA's Local Asset Management Program (LAMP) addresses the entire SHA operation and MTW Block Grant funds. SHA

exercises its authority to move MTW funds and project cash flow among projects and programs as the agency deems necessary to further its mission and cost objectives.

SHA analyzes its housing, rental assistance, service, administrative, and capital needs on an annual basis through the budget process to determine the level of service and resource needs to meet SHA's strategic objectives. SHA adopts a new Strategic Plan every five years and will adopt a new plan in late 2010 for 2011 to 2015. MTW flexibility to allocate MTW "Block Grant" revenues among the Authority's housing and administrative programs enables SHA to balance the mix of housing types, services, capital investments and administrative support to different low-income housing programs and different groups of low-income residents. It enables SHA to tailor resource allocation to best achieve our cost and strategic objectives and therefore maximize our services to low-income residents and applicants having a wide diversity of circumstances, needs, and personal capabilities.

The MTW Block Grant enables SHA to continue addressing some of the most urgent capital needs in the Seattle Senior Housing Program by augmenting local program funds with MTW Block Grant monies. The MTW Block Grant also continues to provide interim financing and support for development activities; to support our local housing special portfolio reserves; to support common park areas in our family communities; and to support management improvements through technology systems development. For 2011 SHA will transfer MTW Block Grant Funds of \$8.2 million for these purposes.

VIII. Administrative Information

This section provides documentation of Board of Commissioners action regarding this plan and describes agency-directed evaluations of MTW, if any.

SHA Board of Commissioners resolutions

To be provided with final Plan.

Agency-Directed Evaluations

SHA is not currently engaged in any agency-wide evaluations of its MTW program.

Appendix A – Draft Strategic Plan

SHA's draft five-year strategic plan for 2011 – 2015 is available for public comment from August 3-September 9. The plan is available on the homepage of the agency website (www.seattlehousing.org), and public comment is invited. A public meeting on the plan will be held on Tuesday evening, September 7 from 6 to 8 p.m. at the Center Park Community Room at 2121 26th Ave S in Central Seattle. At that time, members of the public may offer comments and suggestions on the draft. The housing authority Board of Commissioners will consider the plan at its regular meeting on September 20. Once it is approved by the Board, the strategic plan will be provided in the final version of the 2011 MTW Plan.

Appendix B – New public housing units

The following is a description of new public housing units to be added during 2011 by development.

Lake City Village								
Lake City Village will be an 86-unit affordable housing project in North Seattle. The project is funded in part by both HOPE VI and American Recovery and Reinvestment Act grants.								
	Public Housing				Straight Tax Credit / Other Affordable			
Structure Type	1 BR	2 BR	3 BR	4 BR	1 BR	2 BR	3 BR	4 BR
Elevator	4	36	9	2	4	24	5	2
Total	51				35 (1 of the 35 units is a management unit)			
Accessible Features	<p>6 of the total 86 units are fully "UFAS accessible."</p> <p>22 additional units are "Adaptable" to the "UFAS accessible" standard.</p> <p>20 additional units are "Visitable." These units include accessible entry on an accessible path of travel, an accessible toilet facility, and doorways with a minimum clear width of 32 inches. (The 6 UFAS Accessible and 22 Adaptable units are considered VISIBLE as well, so the total number of VISIBLE units is 58.)</p>							

Rainier Vista Northeast (Phase III)										
Rainier Vista Northeast will be comprised of 118 units, 75 of which will have public housing subsidy, upon final completion in 2012. The numbers below reflect projected unit completions by December 31, 2011.										
	Public Housing					Straight Tax Credit/Project-based Housing Choice Vouchers				
Structure Type	1 BR	2 BR	3 BR	4 BR	5 BR	1 BR	2 BR	3 BR	4 BR	5 BR
Row		6	10	2	1	1	5	4	1	1
Walk Up		2	3	1				1		
<i>Subtotal</i>		8	13	3	1	1	5	5	1	1
Total	25					13				
Accessible Features	<p>There will be seven fully accessible units in the project, two of which will be completed by the end of 2011. A number of the units will have entrances that are without steps or at a minimal grade. There will be bathrooms on the ground level in many units. Exterior doors will be 36 inches wide.</p>									

Appendix C – New project-based voucher units

The following is a description of new project-based housing choice voucher units to be added during 2011 by project.

2011 commitments

SHA has committed project-based voucher assistance to the projects listed below.

Rainier Vista Northeast (Phase III)						
Project description	Rainier Vista Northeast will be comprised of 118 units, 22 of which will have project-based Housing Choice Voucher subsidy, upon final completion in 2012. The numbers below reflect projected unit completions by December 31, 2011.					
Total units in property	Project-based units					
	Studios	1 Bedroom	2 Bedrooms	3 Bedrooms	4 Bedrooms	Total
38 of 118	0	1	1	4	1	7

To be determined by City of Seattle NOFA process						
Project description	SHA has allocated 70 vouchers to be project-based in 2011 via the City of Seattle's competitive Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) process. The results will be reported in SHA's 2011 Annual Report.					
Total units in property (ies)	Project-based units					
	Studios	1 Bedroom	2 Bedrooms	3 Bedrooms	4 Bedrooms	Total
TBD*	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	70

*TBD = To Be Determined

To be determined by City of Seattle RFP process						
Project description	SHA has allocated 50 vouchers to be project-based in 2011 via a Request for Proposals process. These units will serve as replacement units for High Point. The results will be reported in SHA's 2011 Annual Report.					
Total units in property (ies)	Project-based units					
	Studios	1 Bedroom	2 Bedrooms	3 Bedrooms	4 Bedrooms	Total
TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	50

Any project-based commitments or potential commitments listed in a previous plan not completed by the end of 2010 may come on line during the 2011.

Potential new commitments in 2011

SHA is considering providing project-based voucher assistance at the property listed below. Final decisions will be reported in SHA's 2011 Annual Report.

The Ritz Apartments						
Project description	The Ritz Apartments is a 30-unit tax-credit financed building owned by Seattle Housing Authority. The property is located in the Central Area near Seattle University.					
Total units in property	Project-based units					
	Studios	1 Bedroom	2 Bedrooms	3 Bedrooms	4 Bedrooms	Total
30	22	8	0	0	0	30

Appendix D – Seattle 2010 Area Median Income

The following table provides HUD-defined 2010 income limits for the Seattle-Bellevue area. The information is provided for reference, as percentages of Area Median Income are referred to frequently throughout this Plan.

2010 Seattle-Bellevue HUD Income Limits

Household Size	Area Median Income (AMI)	Low Income	Very Low Income	Extremely Low Income
	100% of AMI	80% of AMI	50% of AMI	30% of AMI
1 person	\$60,000	\$45,100	\$30,000	\$18,000
2 people	\$68,500	\$51,550	\$34,250	\$20,600
3 people	\$77,100	\$58,000	\$38,550	\$23,150
4 people	\$85,600	\$64,400	\$42,800	\$25,700
5 people	\$92,500	\$69,600	\$46,250	\$27,800
6 people	\$99,300	\$74,750	\$49,650	\$29,850
7 people	\$106,200	\$79,900	\$53,100	\$31,900
8 people	\$113,000	\$85,050	\$56,500	\$33,950

<http://www.huduser.org/portal/datasets/il/il10/wa.pdf> (6/23/10)